1		
2		
3		
4		
5	LIMITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7	ATTAC	OMA
8	U.S. BANK, N.A., et al.,	
9	Plaintiffs,	CASE NO. C13-5720 BHS
10	v.	ORDER REMANDING CASE
11	STEVEN DERHEIM, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13		
14	This matter comes before the Court on Defendants notice of removal and related	
15	documents. Dkt. 1.	
16	On July 10, 2013, Plaintiffs filed an unlawful detainer action in Pierce County	
17	Superior Court for the State of Washington. <i>Id.</i> On August 21, 2013, Defendants	
18	removed the action to this Court. <i>Id</i> .	
19	The notice of removal of a civil action or proceeding shall be filed within 30 days	
20	after the receipt by the defendant, through service or otherwise, of a copy of the initial	
21	pleading setting forth the claim for relief upon which such action or proceeding is based,	
22	or within 30 days after the service of summons	s upon the defendant if such initial pleading

1	has then been filed in court and is not required to be served on the defendant, whichever	
2	period is shorter. 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(1). Moreover, if at any time before final judgmen	
3	it appears that the district court lacks subject matter jurisdiction, the case shall be	
4	remanded. 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c).	
5	In this case, Defendants removal is deficient for at least two reasons. First, they	
6	claim that they were served with the summons and complaint on June 18, 2013. They	
7	removed the action more than 30 days after that date in violation of 28 U.S.C. § 1446.	
8	Second, the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' unlawful detainer	
9	action to obtain possession of real property that Defendants will allegedly not vacate.	
10	Therefore, the Court sua sponte REMANDS the matter.	
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	Dated this 21st day of August, 2013.	
13	$\langle AC \rangle$	
14	Doya \ Sectio	
15	BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		