1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7	JEWEL SHEPHERD-SAMPSON,	
8	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C13-5888 BHS
9	v.	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA
10	PARATRANSIT SERVICES, et al.,	PAUPERIS
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff Jewel Shepherd-Sampson's	
14	("Shepherd-Sampson") motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Dkt. 1). The Court has	

considered the pleadings filed in support of the motion and the remainder of the file and
hereby denies the motion for the reasons stated herein.

The district court may permit indigent litigants to proceed in forma pauperis upon
completion of a proper affidavit of indigency. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). However, the
"privilege of pleading in forma pauperis . . . in civil actions for damages should be
allowed only in exceptional circumstances." *Wilborn v. Escalderon*, 789 F.2d 1328 (9th
Cir. 1986). Moreover, the Court has broad discretion in denying an application to

ORDER - 1

proceed in forma pauperis. Weller v. Dickson, 314 F.2d 598 (9th Cir. 1963), cert. denied,
 375 U.S. 845 (1963).

In this case, Shepherd-Sampson has failed to show that she is entitled to proceed
in forma pauperis. In exercising its broad discretion, the Court finds that her
circumstances do not meet the indigency requirements necessary to grant in forma
pauperis status.

7 Therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Shepherd-Sampson's motion to proceed in
8 forma pauperis (Dkt. 1) is **DENIED**. If she desires to proceed with this civil action, she
9 shall pay the \$400 filing fee to the Court Clerk not later than October 23, 2013. If
10 Shepherd-Sampson fails to timely submit the filing fee, the Clerk is directed to dismiss
11 this case without prejudice.

Dated this 9<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2013.

BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge