1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
6		
7	K.S., K.K., H.M., T.K., J.H., S.B., S.C., T.S., C.K., D.R., L.A., & M.L.,	CASE NO. 13-5926 RJB
8	Plaintiffs,	ORDER ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO ALLOW CROSS MOTION
9	v.	
10 11	CITY OF PUYALLUP, a municipal corporation, POLICE CHIEF BRYAN	
11	JETER, LIEUTENANT EDWARD SHANNON,	
13	Defendants.	
14	This matter comes before the Court on the Plaintiffs' Motion to Allow a Cross Motion	
15	(Dkt. 40) and Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 32). The Court has considered	
16	the pleadings filed regarding the motions and the remaining record.	
17	On September 9, 2014, Defendants filed a Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 32)	
18	seeking dismissal of Plaintiffs' remaining claims. Plaintiffs filed a response to Defendants'	
19	motion and a cross motion for summary judgment in the same pleading (Dkt. 41) on September	
20	29, 2014. Plaintiffs then refilled that same pleading two additional times and docketed it as	
21	Summary Judgment Motions (Dkts. 43 and 46). Plaintiffs noted the additional pleadings for	
22	consideration for October 26, 2014 (Dkt. 43), and for October 24, 2014 (Dkt. 46).	
23		
24		

The deadline for filing motions for summary judgment was September 9, 2014. Dkt. 7.
 Moreover, in addition to being filed late, Plaintiffs' pleadings (Dkts. 41, 43 and 46) exceeded the
 page limits permitted under Local Rule W. D. Wash. 7(e)(3) by almost 10 pages.

4 On September 26, 2014, Plaintiffs filed the pending motion. Dkt. 40. They move for
5 leave to file a cross motion for summary judgment. Dkt. 40. Defendants oppose the motion.
6 Dkt. 45.

7 In the interest of fully considering all issues in the case, the Plaintiffs' motion for leave to
8 file a cross motion (Dkt. 40) should be granted. Due to Plaintiffs filing of excess pages,
9 Defendants, if they wish, may file a response of 33 pages. No further excess briefing will be
10 considered absent a showing that such briefing is required.

Further, in an effort to clarify the record, all but one (Dkt. 41) of Plaintiffs' various
filings of the same pleading should be stricken. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' pleadings filed as (Dkts.
43 and 46) should be stricken as repetitive. Plaintiffs' pleading, (filed at Dkt. 41), should be
considered their response to Defendants' motion for summary judgment and Plaintiffs' Cross
Motion for Summary Judgment.

Finally, Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 32) and Plaintiffs' Cross
Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 41) should be renoted for October 24, 2014. These
motions address the same or similar issues and should be considered together.

23

24

ORDER

<u>OKDER</u>

• Plaintiffs' pleadin

It is **ORDERED** that:

Plaintiffs' pleadings (filed as Dkts. 43 and 46) are **STRICKEN** as repetitive; and

Plaintiffs' Motion to Allow a Cross Motion (Dkt. 40) is GRANTED;

1	• Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 32) and Plaintiffs' Cross Motion	
2	for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 41) are RENOTED to October 24, 2014.	
3	The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and	
4	to any party appearing pro se at said party's last known address.	
5	Dated this 14 th day of October, 2014.	
6	A ATE	
7	Naker 7 Toryan	
8	ROBERT J. BRYAN United States District Judge	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		