Carson v. Colvin Doc. 28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 9 10 JAMES M. CARSON, CASE NO. 13-cv-05932 JRC Plaintiff, 11 ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR 12 v. ATTORNEY'S FEES PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) CAROLYN W COLVIN, Acting 13 Commissioner of the Social Security 14 Administration, Defendant. 15 16 This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 and Local 17 Magistrate Judge Rule MJR 13 (see also Notice of Initial Assignment to a U.S. Magistrate Judge 18 and Consent Form, ECF No. 6; Consent to Proceed Before a United States Magistrate Judge, 19 ECF No. 7). This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees Pursuant to 20 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) (see ECF No. 26). Defendant has no objection to plaintiff's request (see ECF 21 No. 27). 22 The Court may allow a reasonable fee for an attorney who represented a Social Security 23 Title II claimant before the Court and obtained a favorable judgment, as long as such fee is not in 24

1	excess of 25 percent of the total of past-due benefits. See 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1); Grisbrecht v.
2	Barnhart, 535 U.S. 789 (2002). When a contingency agreement applies, the Court will look first
3	to such agreement and will conduct an independent review to assure the reasonableness of the
4	fee requested, taking into consideration the character of the representation and results achieved.
5	See Grisbrecht, supra, 535 U.S. at 807, 808 (footnote omitted) (citations omitted). Although the
6	fee agreement is the primary means for determining the fee, the Court will adjust the fee
7	downward if substandard representation was provided, if the attorney caused excessive delay, or
8	if a windfall would result from the requested fee. See Crawford v. Astrue, 586 F.3d 1142, 1151
9	(9th Cir. 2009) (citing Grisbrecht, supra, 535 U.S. at 808).
10	Here, the representation was standard, at least, and the results achieved excellent (see
11	ECF No. 26, Attachment 3). See Grisbrecht, supra, 535 U.S. at 808. This Court remanded for
12	further proceedings (see ECF No. 20) and following the second hearing, the ALJ awarded
13	disability benefits to plaintiff (ECF No. 26, Attachment 1, p. 1, Attachment 3) There has not
14	been excessive delay and no windfall will result from the requested fee.
15	The Social Security Administration ("SSA") has withheld \$17,637.00 from plaintiff's
16	past-due benefits to pay attorney's fees (see ECF No. 16, Attachment 3, p. 3). Plaintiff has
17	moved for a net attorney's fee of \$11,323.40 (see Motion, ECF No. 26, p. 1), and the Court has
18	considered plaintiff's gross attorney's fee of \$17,637.00 and the EAJA award received by
19	plaintiff's attorney in the amount of \$6,313.60 (ECF No. 25). Parish v. Comm'r. Soc. Sec.
20	Admin., 698 F.3d 1215, 1221 (9th Cir. 2012).
21	Based on plaintiff's motion and supporting documents (see ECF No. 26, 26-1, 26-3, 26-4
22	26-5, 26-6), and with no objection from defendant (ECF No. 27), it is hereby ORDERED that
23	attorney's fees in the amount of \$11, 323.40 (\$17,637.00 minus \$6,313.60) be awarded to
24	

1	plaintiff's attorney pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b). When issuing the 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) check
2	for payment to plaintiff's attorney, the Social Security Administration is directed to send to
3	plaintiff's attorney the net balance of \$11,323.40, minus any applicable processing fees as
4	allowed by statute. After paying the attorney's fees, the Social Security Administration shall
5	release all remaining funds directly to plaintiff.
6	Dated this 18th day of November, 2015.
7	T. Marco (watero
8	J. Richard Creatura
9	United States Magistrate Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	