1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES D WESTERN DISTRICT	OF WASHINGTON
10	AT TAC	OMA
11	ROBERT L. HARTZOG,	
12	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 13-cv-06015 JRC
13	v.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES PURSUANT
13	CAROLYN W COLVIN, Acting	TO 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)
15	Commissioner of the Social Security Administration,	
15	Defendant.	
17	This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 and Local	
18	Magistrate Judge Rule MJR 13 (see also Notice of Initial Assignment to a U.S. Magistrate Judge	
19	and Consent Form, ECF No. 5; Consent to Proceed	d Before a United States Magistrate Judge,
20	ECF No. 6). This matter is before the Court on pla	intiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees Pursuant to
21	42 U.S.C. § 406(b) (see Dkt. 27). Defendant has no	o objection to plaintiff's request (see Dkt. 28).
22	The Court may allow a reasonable fee for a	an attorney who represented a Social Security
23	Title II claimant before the Court and obtained a fa	avorable judgment, as long as such fee is not in
24		

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 406(B) - 1 1 excess of 25 percent of the total of past-due benefits. See 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1); Grisbrecht v. 2 Barnhart, 535 U.S. 789 (2002). When a contingency agreement applies, the Court will look first to such agreement and will conduct an independent review to assure the reasonableness of the 3 4 fee requested, taking into consideration the character of the representation and results achieved. See Grisbrecht, supra, 535 U.S. at 807, 808 (footnote omitted) (citations omitted). Although the 5 6 fee agreement is the primary means for determining the fee, the Court will adjust the fee 7 downward if substandard representation was provided, if the attorney caused excessive delay, or if a windfall would result from the requested fee. See Crawford v. Astrue, 586 F.3d 1142, 1151 8 9 (9th Cir. 2009) (citing Grisbrecht, supra, 535 U.S. at 808).

Here, the representation was standard, at least, and the results achieved excellent (*see*Dkt. 27, Attachment 3). *See Grisbrecht, supra*, 535 U.S. at 808. Following stipulated remand
from this Court (Dkts. 20, 21) and another administrative hearing, the Administrative Law Judge
awarded plaintiff disability benefits (Dkt. 27, Attachments 1, 3). There has not been excessive
delay and no windfall will result from the requested fee.

Plaintiff's total back payment was \$35,484.00 (*see id.*, Attachments 3, 7). Plaintiff has
moved for attorney's fee of \$8,871.00, representing 25% of the past due benefits, minus the
previously awarded EAJA fees of \$3,807.68 (Dkt. 24), minus an additional voluntary reduction
of \$2,192.32 (*see* Dkt. 27, p. 1) for a net sum of \$2,871.00 (*id.*). *See Parish v. Comm'r. Soc. Sec. Admin.*, 698 F.3d 1215, 1221 (9th Cir. 2012).

Based on plaintiff's motion and supporting documents (*see* Dkt. 27, Attachments 1, 3, 4,
5, 6, 7), and with no objection from defendant (Dkt. 28), it is hereby ORDERED that attorney's
fees in the amount of \$2,871.00 be awarded to plaintiff's attorney pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §

23 24

statute, the Social Security Administration shall release all remaining funds directly to plaintiff Dated this 11th day of August, 2016. Jated t
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
5 J. Richard Creatura 6 J. Richard Creatura 7 United States Magistrate Judge 7 9 10 1 11 1 12 1 13 1 14 1 15 1 16 1 17 1 18 19
5 J. Richard Creatura United States Magistrate Judge 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
0 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
8 9 9 10 11 1 12 1 13 1 14 1 15 1 16 1 17 1 18 1 19 1
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
13 14 15 16 17 18 19
14 15 16 17 18 19
15 16 17 18 19
16 17 18 19
17 18 19
18 19
19
20
20
21
22
23
24

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES PURSUANT TO 42 U.S.C. § 406(B) - 3