

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

KEVIN A BROWN,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARK SCHNOOR, TERRY MCELRAVY,
PAT GLEBE, D DAHNE, KERRY
MCTARSNEY,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C14-5099 RJB-JRC

ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR A
PROTECTIVE ORDER

The District Court has referred this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action to United States Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and local Magistrate Judge Rules MJR1, MJR3 and MJR4.

Defendants ask the Court to enter a protective order so that they need not answer plaintiff's fifth request for production of documents. The Court grants the motion as defendants have already provided plaintiff with the majority of the information he seeks and his fifth request for production of documents is untimely.

1 | FACTUAL BACKGROUND

2 | Plaintiff filed this action regarding the alleged conduct of correctional officers Schnoor
3 | and Springer, the grievances plaintiff filed regarding that alleged conduct, and the prison's
4 | response. Liberally construing the complaint, plaintiff has stated a claim of possible retaliation
5 | (Dkt. 5).

6 | After defendants answered the complaint, the Court entered a pretrial scheduling order
7 | (Dkt. 14). The Court's scheduling order gave the parties over six months to conduct discovery
8 | (*id*). The Court's scheduling order also informed the parties that all discovery had to be served
9 | thirty days before the October 17, 2014 discovery cutoff date (*id*).

10 | On September 20, 2014, plaintiff signed his fifth request for production of documents
11 | (Dkt. 24-1, p. 51). The last day for a party to serve a discovery request under the pretrial order
12 | was September 17, 2014. Thus, the request for discovery was untimely.

13 | The Court has also reviewed the discovery requests and the information provided by
14 | defendants in support of their motion (Dkt. 24-1). Defendants have provided plaintiff with the
15 | information showing that Correctional Officer Schnorr has not been disciplined as a result of the
16 | allegations made by plaintiff and other inmates, but that CUS McElravy did author a letter of
17 | concern that is in defendant Schnoor's personnel file (Dkt. 24-1, p. 8). From defendants'
18 | response, it appears plaintiff received copies of both the letter of concern and his grievances
19 | along with the investigation records regarding his complaints (Dkt. 24-1). Pursuant to an
20 | agreement between the parties, defendants have also provided plaintiff with grievances filed
21 | against officer Schnoor by other inmates for the two years prior to October 23, 2013, when the
22 | incident giving rise to this action took place (Dkt. 24-1, pp. 26-46).


1 Plaintiff's fifth request for production of documents asks defendants to review other
2 grievances to determine if any of them involve a named defendant and also seeks items that are
3 not well defined (Dkt. 24-1, pp 49-51). Plaintiff asks for "[a]ll and any documents or tangible
4 things and the identity of persons having knowledge of any discoverable material, as pursuant to
5 FRCP 26(b)(1)." (Dkt. 24-1, p. 49.)

6 DISCUSSION

7 The Court has broad discretion to decide when a protective order is appropriate and to
8 what degree protection is required. *Seattle Times Co. v. Rhinehart*, 467 U.S. 20, 36 (1984).
9 "Discovery, like all matters of procedure, has ultimate and necessary boundaries."
10 *Oppenheimer Fund, Inc. v. Sanders*, 437 U.S. 340, 351 (1978); (quoting *Hickman v. Taylor*, 329
11 U.S. 495, 501, (1947). In this case, discovery is closed. Plaintiff's requests needed to be served
12 prior to September 17, 2014. Plaintiff's discovery requests are untimely, and given the nature
13 and extent of the information defendants have provided the Court also finds the requests, overly
14 broad and unduly burdensome.

15 Defendants have shown good cause and the Court grants defendants' motion for a
16 protective order. Defendants need not respond to the fifth request for production of documents.

17 Dated this 5th day of December, 2014.

18 

19 J. Richard Creatura
20 United States Magistrate Judge