Brown v. Schnoor et al Doc. 42

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 9 10 KEVIN A BROWN, CASE NO. C14-5099 RJB-JRC Plaintiff, 11 ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S 12 v. REQUEST FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL MARK SCHNOOR, TERRY MCELRAVY, 13 PAT GLEBE, D DAHNE, KERRY 14 MCTARSNEY, Defendants. 15 16 The District Court has referred this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action to United States 17 Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and local 18 Magistrate Judge Rules MJR1, MJR3 and MJR4. 19 Currently before the Court is plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel (Dkt. 35). 20 Plaintiff states that the action is complex and that he has already made mistakes in litigating (id.). 21 Defendants have a motion for summary judgment pending and plaintiff has filed a response (Dkt. 22 33 and 39). Defendants oppose plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel stating that this is 23 plaintiff's second such motion (Dkt. 37). Defendants argue that plaintiff fails to show that he has 24

1	an insufficient grasp of the legal issues involved in his case or an inadequate ability to articulate
2	the factual basis of his claim (Dkt. 37, p. 2) (citing Agyeman v. Corr. Corp. of America, 390 F.3d
3	1101, 1103 (9th Cir. 2004).
4	There is no right to have counsel appointed in cases brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
5	Although the Court can request counsel to represent a party, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1), the Court may
6	do so only in exceptional circumstances. Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir.
7	1986); Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1236 (9th Cir. 1984); Aldabe v. Aldabe, 616 F.2d 1089
8	(9th Cir. 1980). A finding of exceptional circumstances requires the Court to evaluate both the
9	likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of
10	the complexity of the legal issues involved. <i>Wilborn</i> , 789 F.2d at 1331.
11	Plaintiff is able to articulate his claims. Further, the Court record reflects that he conducted
12	extensive discovery (Dkt. 31). The action involves alleged racial slurs and retaliation. However,
13	plaintiff has not, as yet, demonstrated a likelihood of success on these claims. The Court does not
14	find exceptional circumstances that would warrant appointment of counsel at this time.
15	Accordingly the Court denies plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel.
16	Dated this 21st day of January, 2015.
17	T. Morof (walius)
18	J. Richard Creatura United States Magistrate Judge
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	