Lee v. Glebe Doc. 25

01			
02			
03			
04			
05			
06 07	WESTERN DISTRI	S DISTRICT COURT CT OF WASHINGTON EATTLE	
08	DONALD MORRIS LEE,)) CASE NO. C14-5309-RSL-MAT	
09	Petitioner,))	
10 11	v. PATRICK GLEBE,	ORDER STRIKING PETITIONER'SPENDING MOTIONS	
12	Respondent.		
13		,	
14	This is a federal habeas action proceed	ding under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Currently pending	
15	before the Court are petitioner's motion for an injunction and petitioner's motion to compel.		
16	Respondent has not responded to petitioner's motions and the Court deems a response		
17	unnecessary. The Court, having reviewed petitioner's pending motions, and the balance of the		
18	record, hereby ORDERS as follows:		
19	(1) Petitioner's motion for an ir	njunction (Dkt. 23) is STRICKEN. Petitioner	
20	complains in the instant motion that a corrections counselor at his current place of		
21	incarceration, the Stafford Creek Corrections Center ("SCCC"), has improperly held his legal		
22	mail and has, on occasion, refused to send	it. Petitioner seeks an Order from this Court	
	ORDER STRIKING PETITIONER'S PENDING MOTIONS PAGE -1	Dead	

directing corrections staff at SCCC to cease interference with his mail. This Court has no authority to grant such relief. The member of the SCCC corrections staff identified by petitioner in his motion is not a defendant/respondent in this action and, thus, the Court has no jurisdiction to enjoin that individual's conduct. Moreover, it is not clear from petitioner's motion that the alleged interference with his mail has impacted this action in any way. If petitioner encounters future delays in the processing of his mail which directly impact this action, he may request additional time to comply with any deadlines established by this Court. There are no current deadlines requiring action by petitioner.

- (2) Petitioner's motion to compel (Dkt. 24) is STRICKEN. Petitioner asks that documents requested by him be delivered to the Court no later than the date on which the respondent's answer is due. He also appears to request that the Court give notice to various defense agencies that sensitive documents over which petitioner previously had control have been seized by the State of Washington. The Court presumes that the documents referenced by petitioner are those portions of the state court record relevant to resolution of his federal habeas claims. Respondent is expected to submit such documents in conjunction with his answer to petitioner's petition. No separate order is required. To the extent petitioner requests that notice be given to defense agencies regarding the alleged seizure of sensitive documents by the State of Washington he has requested relief beyond the scope of this Court's jurisdiction.
- (3) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to petitioner, to counsel for respondent, and to the Honorable Robert S. Lasnik.

22 ///

01	DATED this 18th day of July, 2014.	
02		
03		maddelle
04		Mary Alice Theiler
05		Chief United States Magistrate Judge
06		
07		
08		
09		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
	ORDER STRIKING PETITIONER'S	

PENDING MOTIONS PAGE -3