

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT TACOMA

8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 RICHARD R. RUDD, JR., et al.,

12 Defendants.

CASE NO. C14-5434 BHS

ORDER DENYING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
AN EXTENSION OF TIME

13
14 This matter comes before the Court on Defendants Sherilyn Gallegos and Richard
15 R. Rudd, Jr.'s ("Defendants") motion for extension of time (Dkt. 70).

16 On October 15, 2014, the Clerk entered default against Defendants. Dkt. 65. On
17 October 20, 2014, Defendants filed the instant motion requesting an additional two weeks
18 to answer the complaint. Dkt. 70. On October 21, 2014, the Government responded and
19 requested that the Court deny the motion without prejudice because the default must be
20 set aside before an answer may be filed. Dkt. 71. The Court agrees with the
21 Government. Therefore, the Court **DENIES without prejudice** Defendants' motion for
22

1 an extension of time. If the Court grants Defendants' motion to set aside the default (Dkt.
2 73), Defendants may then file a motion for an extension if necessary.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 Dated this 3rd day of November, 2014.

5 

6

BENJAMIN H. SETTLE
7 United States District Judge

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22