1 HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT TACOMA 9 SUSAN ROACH. CASE NO. C14-5494 RBL 10 Plaintiff, **ORDER GRANTING** 11 **DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO** 12 **DISMISS** v. 13 MEYER BROTHERS ROOFING & SHEET METAL INC, 14 Defendant. 15 16 17 THIS MATTER is before the Court on Defendant Meyer Brothers' motion to dismiss 18 Susan Roach's claims [Dkt. #7]. Roach is suing Meyer Brothers, her former employer, under 42 19 U.S.C. § 1983 for wrongful termination. Meyer Brothers contends that it cannot be held liable 20 under § 1983. 21 A plaintiff cannot assert a 42 U.S.C. §1983 claim for violation of his or her constitutional 22 rights against any defendant who is not a state actor. See West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988). 23 This determination is made using a two-part test: (1) "the deprivation must . . . be caused by the 24 25 exercise of some right or a privilege created by the government or a rule of conduct imposed by 26 the government;" and (2) "the party charged with the deprivation must be a person who may 27 28

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS - 1

fairly be said to be a *governmental actor*." *Sutton v. Providence St. Joseph Medical Center*, 192 F.3d 826, 835 (9th Cir. 1999).

A private entity is not a governmental actor unless it "willfully participates in joint action with state officials to deprive others of constitutional rights." *Taylor v. List*, 880 F.2d 1040, 1048 (9th Cir.1989). Ms. Roach has failed to plead any facts that indicate Meyers Brothers is, or was acting as, a governmental actor. *See Lee v. Katz*, 276 F.3d 550, 553-54 (9th Cir.2002) (finding that it is plaintiff's burden to establish the defendants were acting under color of state law when depriving a plaintiff of a federal right). Accordingly, the defendant's motion to dismiss is GRANTED, and Ms. Roach's 42 U.S.C. §1983 claim is dismissed WITH PREJUDICE. This dismissal, however, should not be construed as foreclosing the possibility or validity of other federal or state law claims Ms. Roach may have.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 22nd day of September, 2014.

RONALD B. LEIGHTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE