Cosden v. Uttecht Doc. 22

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 10 AT TACOMA 11 WILLIAM E COSDEN, 12 CASE NO. C14-5739 BHS-JRC Petitioner, 13 **ORDER GRANTING** v. RESPONDENT'S MOTION FOR A 14 MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT JEFF UTTECHT, 15 Respondent. 16 The District Court referred this petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 17 U.S.C. § 2254 to United States Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura. The referral is made 18 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and local Magistrate Judge Rules MJR3 and 19 MJR4. 20 Currently before the Court is respondent's motion asking the Court to order petitioner to 21 submit a more definite statement (Dkt. 21). Respondent argues that it is unclear if petitioner is 22 challenging his 1976 rape conviction, which he is currently serving, a future sentence on a 23 24

1	murder conviction, or the Indeterminate Sentence Review Board's decision not to parole him
2	from his rape sentence to his murder sentence (Dkt. 21).
3	Petitioner's habeas petition refers the Court to a "Memorandum of Authorities." Dkt. 11.
4	Instead of providing a memorandum of authorities specific to this petition, petitioner attaches
5	briefs from personal restraint petitions filed in state court (Dkt. 11-1 to 11-3). Petitioner has not
6	made it clear what conviction or Indeterminate Sentence Review Board decision he is
7	challenging.
8	The rules adopted by the Supreme Court governing petitions filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
9	2254 requires a petitioner to specify all the grounds for relief available to petitioner, state the
10	facts supporting each ground, and state the relief requested. Rule 2(c) states:
11 12	<ul><li>(c) Form. The petition must:</li><li>(1) specify all the grounds for relief available to the petitioner;</li><li>(2) state the facts supporting each ground;</li></ul>
13 14	(3) state the relief requested; (4) be printed, typewritten, or legibly handwritten; and (5) be signed under penalty of perjury by the petitioner or by a person authorized to sign it for the petitioner under 28 U.S.C. § 2242.
15	See, Rules Governing § 2254 Cases, Rule 2(c), 28 U.S.C.A. foll. § 2254. Petitioner simply
16	referencing briefs filed in state court is confusing and does not suffice in this case. The Court
17	notes that petitioner titled his petition as a petition filed pursuant to 28 U.S. C. § 2241. However,
18	if petitioner is seeking to challenge the validity of either of his state convictions or sentences the
19	petition is properly filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254.
20	The Court grants respondent's motion for a more definite statement and orders petitioner
21	to submit a new petition using the form provided by the Court. Petitioner must completely fill
22	out the form and not simply reference other documents. Petitioner is ordered to title this petition
23	
24	

1	"First Amended Petition." Petitioner's first amended petition must be filed on or before March 6,
2	2015.
3	The Clerk's Office is directed to send petition a form for filing a state habeas corpus
4	petition.
5	Dated this 20 <sup>th</sup> day of January, 2015.
6	1. Mard Juntino
7	J. Richard Creatura
8	United States Magistrate Judge
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	