Cosden v. Uttecht Doc. 26

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 9 10 WILLIAM E COSDEN. CASE NO. C14-5739 BHS-JRC 11 Petitioner, **ORDER** 12 v. 13 JEFFREY UTTECHT, Respondent. 14 15 The District Court has referred this petition for a writ of habeas corpus to United States 16 Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura. The Court's authority for the referral is 28 U.S.C. § 17 636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and local Magistrate Judge Rules MJR3 and MJR4. Petitioner seeks relief 18 from a state conviction, thus, the petition is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. 19 Petitioner filed an amended petition (Dkt. 23). The Court has called for an answer from 20 respondent (Dkt. 25). Petitioner asks the Court to appoint counsel to represent him (Dkt. 24). 21 The Court has not ordered an evidentiary hearing in this action. Petitioner does not have a 22 constitutional right to have counsel appointed in cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 unless an 23 evidentiary hearing is required. This is because the action is civil, not criminal, in nature. Brown 24

1	v. Vasquez, 952 F.2d 1164, 1168 (9th Cir. 1991) (citing McCleskey v. Zant, 499 U.S. 467, 495
2	(1991)); see Ortiz v. Stewart, 149 F.3d 923, 939 (9th Cir. 1998) ("There is simply no
3	constitutional right to an attorney in a state post-conviction proceeding"); see also Terrovona v.
4	Kincheloe, 852 F.2d 424, 429 (9th Cir. 1988); and Rule 8(c) of the Rules Governing Section
5	2254 Cases in the United States District Courts.
6	Petitioner has failed to demonstrate that he is entitled to counsel and the motion is denied.
7	Dated this 16 th day of March, 2015.
8	I March Cruz Luco
9	J. Richard Creatura
10	United States Magistrate Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	