

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
4 AT TACOMA

5 CLARK COUNTY
6 BANCORPORATION,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE
CORPORATION,

10 Defendant.

CASE NO. C14-5816 BHS

ORDER DENYING
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO
STRIKE

11
12 This matter comes before the Court on Defendant Federal Deposit Insurance
13 Corporation-Receiver's ("FDIC-R") motion to strike third amended complaint (Dkt. 71).

14 On June 16, 2015, the Court granted FDIC-R's motion to dismiss and granted
15 Plaintiff Clark County Bancorporation's ("CCB") leave to amend its complaint. Dkt. 56.
16 On June 26, 2015, CCB filed a Second Amended Complaint. Dkt. 59. On July 24, 2015,
17 FDIC-R filed a motion to dismiss. Dkt. 65. On August 12, 2015, CCB filed a Third
18 Amended Complaint. Dkt. 70. On August 21, 2015, FDIC-R filed the instant motion to
19 strike. Dkt. 71. On September 3, 2015, CCB responded. Dkt. 73. On September 4,
20 2015, FDIC-R replied. Dkt. 74.

21 "A party may amend its pleading once as a matter of course . . . 21 days after
22 service of a motion under Rule 12(b)" Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(B).


1 In this case, the parties dispute whether this rule allows CCB to file an amended
2 complaint as a matter of course in response to each Rule 12(b) motion. The parties fail to
3 cite, and the Court is unaware of, any binding authority on the question presented. The
4 Advisor's Committee Notes, however, provide as follows:

5 the right to amend once as a matter of course . . . will force the pleader to
6 consider carefully and promptly the wisdom of amending to meet the
7 arguments in the motion. A responsive amendment may avoid the need to
8 decide the motion or reduce the number of issues to be decided, and will
9 expedite determination of issues that otherwise might be raised seriatim. It
10 also should advance other pretrial proceedings.

11 Fed. R. Civ. P. 15 advisory's committee notes to 2009 amendment. As applied to this
12 case, the Court finds that CCB filed the complaint to either avoid deciding the motion to
13 dismiss or to streamline issues. In fact, the Third Amended Complaint includes
14 additional allegations regarding the Tax Allocation Agreement, which was the subject of
15 many of CCB's arguments in opposition to dismissal. *See* Dkt. 46. Therefore, the Court
16 declines to strike CCB's complaint and **DENIES** FDIC's motion. FDIC shall respond to
17 the complaint no later than October 22, 2015. The Clerk shall remove FDIC-R's motion
18 to dismiss (Dkt. 65) from the Court's calendar.

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20 Dated this 1st day of October, 2015.

21
22

BENJAMIN H. SETTLE
United States District Judge