

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT TACOMA

8 DANIEL L. SANDERS,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 JOSEPHINE TOWNSEND, et al.,

12 Defendants.

CASE NO. C14-5855 BHS

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT
AND RECOMMENDATION

13 This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”)
14 of the Honorable Karen L. Strombom, United States Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 11), and
15 Plaintiff Daniel Sanders’s (“Sanders”) objections to the R&R (Dkt. 12).

16 On December 19, 2014, Judge Strombom issued the R&R recommending that the
17 Court dismiss Sanders’s complaint for failure to state a claim. Dkt. 11. On January 2,
18 2015, Sanders filed objections to the R&R arguing that he did state a claim and submitted
19 an amended complaint. Dkt. 12 & 12-1. Sanders is attempting to suppress evidence in
20 an ongoing state court criminal trial. The Court concludes that this is not a cognizable
21 claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
22

