1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
7	AT TACOMA	
8	KEITH L NASH,	
9	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C14-5997 BHS-KLS
10	v.	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO FILE AN AMENDED
11	GARRY E LUCAS, RICHARD J	COMPLAINT
12	BISHOP, ANDERSON, SCHMIERER, JONES, HUFF,	
13	Defendants.	
13 14	Defendants. This matter has been referred to United Sta	ites Magistrate Judge Karen L. Strombom
14	This matter has been referred to United Sta	JR 3 and 4, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72. The case is
14 15	This matter has been referred to United Sta pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), Local Rules M.	JR 3 and 4, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72. The case is
14 15 16	This matter has been referred to United Sta pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), Local Rules M. before the undersigned for consideration of Plainti	JR 3 and 4, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72. The case is ff's motion to file an amended complaint.
14 15 16 17	This matter has been referred to United Sta pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), Local Rules M. before the undersigned for consideration of Plainti Dkt. 6 and 7.	JR 3 and 4, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72. The case is ff's motion to file an amended complaint. that Plaintiff's allegations that the Clarke
14 15 16 17 18	This matter has been referred to United Sta pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), Local Rules M. before the undersigned for consideration of Plainti Dkt. 6 and 7. At screening, the undersigned determined to	JR 3 and 4, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72. The case is ff's motion to file an amended complaint. that Plaintiff's allegations that the Clarke using a non collect telephone call does not state
14 15 16 17 18 19	This matter has been referred to United Sta pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), Local Rules M. before the undersigned for consideration of Plainti Dkt. 6 and 7. At screening, the undersigned determined to County Jail would not allow him to call his bank to	JR 3 and 4, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72. The case is ff's motion to file an amended complaint. that Plaintiff's allegations that the Clarke using a non collect telephone call does not state gned entered an Order to Show Cause why this
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> </ol>	This matter has been referred to United Sta pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), Local Rules M. before the undersigned for consideration of Plainti Dkt. 6 and 7. At screening, the undersigned determined to County Jail would not allow him to call his bank u a claim for denial of access to courts. The undersi	JR 3 and 4, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72. The case is ff's motion to file an amended complaint. that Plaintiff's allegations that the Clarke using a non collect telephone call does not state gned entered an Order to Show Cause why this esponded to the Order to Show Cause and in
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	This matter has been referred to United Sta pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), Local Rules M. before the undersigned for consideration of Plainti Dkt. 6 and 7. At screening, the undersigned determined to County Jail would not allow him to call his bank u a claim for denial of access to courts. The undersi action should not be dismissed. Dkt. 5. Plaintiff r	JR 3 and 4, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72. The case is ff's motion to file an amended complaint. that Plaintiff's allegations that the Clarke using a non collect telephone call does not state gned entered an Order to Show Cause why this esponded to the Order to Show Cause and in

1	Pursuant to Fed, R. Civ. P15(a), "[a] party may amend the party's pleading once as a
2	matter of course within 21 days after serving it, or 21 days after service of a responsive pleading,
3	or 21 days after service of a motion under Rule 12(b), (e), or (f), whichever is earlier. In all other
4	cases, a party may amend its pleading only with the opposing party's written consent or the
5	court's leave. The Court should freely give leave when justice so requires. Fed. R. Civ. P.
6	15(a)(1)(A)(B) and (2). After a responsive pleading has been filed, "leave to amend should be
7	granted unless amendment would cause prejudice to the opposing party, is sought in bad faith, is
8	futile, or creates undue delay." Martinez v. Newport Beach City, 125 F.3d 777, 786 (9th Cir.
9	1997).
10	Plaintiff did not need leave of Court to file an amended complaint in this case because
11	service of the complaint has not been ordered. Accordingly Plaintiff may file an amended
12	complaint on or before March 13, 2015.
13	The Clerk's Office is directed to note the March 13, 2015 due date and remove Dkt. 7
14	from the Court's calendar.
15	
16	<b>DATED</b> this 12 <sup>th</sup> day of February, 2015.
17	
18	Keen Lationebom
19	Karen L. Strombom United States Magistrate Judge
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	