Vicky v. Besola Doc. 14

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7		
8	VICKY,	
9	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C15-5061 BHS
10	v.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
11	MARK LESTER BESOLA,	
12	Defendant.	
10		l I
13		
13	This matter comes before the Court on	Plaintiff "Vicky's" motion to proceed with
	This matter comes before the Court on pseudonym and motion for prejudgment writ of	
14		of attachment (Dkts. 2 & 3).
14 15	pseudonym and motion for prejudgment writ o	of attachment (Dkts. 2 & 3).  Applaint against Defendant Mark Lester
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	pseudonym and motion for prejudgment writ of On January 29, 2015, Vicky filed a con	of attachment (Dkts. 2 & 3).  Inplaint against Defendant Mark Lester  Images based on Besola's criminal conduct.
14 15 16 17	pseudonym and motion for prejudgment writ of On January 29, 2015, Vicky filed a con Besola ("Besola") asserting civil claims for da	of attachment (Dkts. 2 & 3).  Inplaint against Defendant Mark Lester  Images based on Besola's criminal conduct.  Inquesting authorization to proceed under the
14 15 16 17 18	pseudonym and motion for prejudgment writ of On January 29, 2015, Vicky filed a con Besola ("Besola") asserting civil claims for da Dkt. 1. Vicky also filed the instant motions re	of attachment (Dkts. 2 & 3).  Inplaint against Defendant Mark Lester  Images based on Besola's criminal conduct.  Inquesting authorization to proceed under the
14 15 16 17 18 19	On January 29, 2015, Vicky filed a con Besola ("Besola") asserting civil claims for da Dkt. 1. Vicky also filed the instant motions re pseudonym "Vicky" and for prejudgment attac owned by Besola. Dkts. 2 & 3.	of attachment (Dkts. 2 & 3).  Inplaint against Defendant Mark Lester  Images based on Besola's criminal conduct.  Inquesting authorization to proceed under the

interest in knowing her identity. *Does I through XXII v. Advance Textile Corp.*, 214 F.3d 1058, 1067 (9th Cir. 2000). The Court, however, will require Vicky to submit her true identity in the case file under seal. Vicky may submit a declaration to the Court no later than May 22, 2015, and the Clerk shall renote the motion for consideration on the Court's May 22, 2015 calendar. Failure to file a declaration or show cause why one could not be filed may result in denial of the motion.

With regard to the motion for prejudgment attachment, a plaintiff who meets the requirements of RCW 6.25 may, at the time of commencing an action or at anytime afterward before judgment, have a defendant's property attached as security to satisfy a judgment in his or her favor. *Clearwater v. Skyline Const. Co.*, 67 Wn. App. 305, 316, (1992). Although a plaintiff may recover actual damages or minimum presumptive damages of \$150,000, the presumptive damages are awarded on a per lawsuit basis. *Prewett v. Weems*, 749 F.3d 454, 462 (6th Cir. 2014).

In this case, Vicky alleges presumptive damages and seeks prejudgment attachment of ten residences. Vicky, however, fails to provide sufficient evidence of the value of the residences. In the absence of evidence as to the value of each residence or Besola's equity in any residence, the Court is concerned with issuing an order of attachment to all of this property. Therefore, the Court orders Vicky to show cause why the Court should issue an order attaching all of this property in the absence of evidence correlating Besola's interest in the property with an alleged amount of damages. Vicky may also supplement the record with additional evidence. Vicky shall respond no later than May 22, 2015, and the Clerk shall renote the motion for consideration on the Court's

1	May 22, 2015 calendar. Failure to respond may result in denial of the motion without
2	prejudice.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Dated this 27th day of April, 2015.
5	$\langle AC \rangle$
6	Doy \ South
7	BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	