| 1 | | HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 7 | WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA | |
| 8 | ANDRE L BONDS, | CASE NO. C15-5204 RBL |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | Petitioner, | ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL IFP |
| 11 | V. | [DKT. #30] |
| 12 | PATRICK GLEBE, | |
| 13 | Respondent. | |
| 14 | THIS MATTER is before the Court on Petitioner Bonds' Motion for Leave to Appeal in | |
| 15 | forma pauperis. [Dkt. #30] This Court must determine whether his appeal is frivolous or taken in | |
| 16 | bad faith. <i>See</i> 28 U.S.C. § 1915(c). | |
| 17 | This Court adopted [Dkt. #26] Magistrate Judge Strombom's Report [Dkt. #22] | |
| 18 | recommending denial of Bonds' petition for habeas relief, because he failed to show that the | |
| 19 | state court's rulings violated clearly established federal law. This Court also adopted the | |
| 20 | Magistrate Judge's recommendation to DENY Bond a certificate of appealability because he did | |
| 21 | not make a substantial showing that he was denied a constitutional right. | |
| 22 | A court should "deny leave to proceed in forma pauperis if it appears from the face of the | |
| 23 | proposed complaint that the action is frivolous or without merit." Tripati v. First Nat'l Bank & | |
| 24 | | |

| 1 | Trust, 821 F.2d 1368, 1369 (9th Cir. 1987) (citations omitted); see also 28 U.S.C. | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | 1915(e)(2)(B)(i). While Bonds' claims are not viable, it cannot be said that they are frivolous, or | |
| 3 | that he is acting in bad faith. His Motion [Dkt. #30] is therefore GRANTED; he shall be | |
| 4 | permitted to appeal in forma pauperis. | |
| 5 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 6 | Dated this 11 th day of February, 2016. | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | Ronald B. Leighton | |
| 9 | United States District Judge | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |