German v. Roberts et al Doc. 41

1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT TACOMA 8 JOSE GERMAN, 9 CASE NO. 3:15-CV-05237-BHS-DWC Plaintiff, 10 ORDER AMENDING THE PRETRIAL v. SCHEDULING ORDER 11 CHRIS ROBERTS, et al., 12 Defendants. 13 14 The District Court has referred this action to United States Magistrate Judge David W. 15 Christel. Plaintiff Jose German, proceeding in forma pauperis with retained counsel, filed this 16 civil rights Complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On September 11, 2016, the Court entered a 17 Pretrial Scheduling Order, ordering all discovery to be completed by March 9, 2016 and any 18 dispositive motion to be filed by May 9, 2016. Dkt. 15. On May 5, 2016, Defendants moved for 19 summary judgment. Dkt. 18. Plaintiff moved to amend the Amended Complaint on May 19, 20 2016, which the Court granted. Dkt. 24, 32. 21 22 23 <sup>1</sup> Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment was denied as moot based on the filing of the Second Amended Complaint. Dkt. 34, 36.

1	On July 21, 2016, Plaintiff filed the Second Amended Complaint. Dkt. 38. Defendants
2	filed an Answer to the Second Amended Complaint on August 12, 2016. Dkt. 40. In previous
3	pleadings, the parties referenced a need for additional discovery if Plaintiff was allowed to file
4	the Second Amended Complaint. See Dkt. 29. Therefore, the Court amends the Pretrial
5	Scheduling order as follows:
6	<ul> <li>All discovery shall be completed by November 21, 2016.</li> </ul>
7	• Any dispositive motions shall be filed and served on or before December 21, 2016.
8	If the parties need additional time, counsel for Plaintiff and Defendants must confer and
9	attempt to agree to any proposed extension prior to filing a motion for extension with the Court.
10	Dated this 19th day of August, 2016.
11	MoMuito
12	David W. Christel
13	United States Magistrate Judge
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	