Slack v. Wright et al Doc. 20

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 9 10 TOMMIE SLACK, CASE NO. 3:15-CV-05270-RBL-DWC Plaintiff, 11 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE 12 v. 13 EARL X. WRIGHT, ELAINE FARR, JOHN DOE, MELISSA HALLMARK, 14 ERIC BAUER, AMANDA THOMAS, JANE 1-2 DOES, 15 Defendant. 16 17 On August 3, 2015, Plaintiff submitted a Motion for Preliminary Injunction by U.S. Mail. 18 Dkt. 14. On August 4, 2015, the Clerk reminded Plaintiff compliance with the Court's E-Filing 19 Program is mandatory. Plaintiff submitted a Motion in Support of the June 9, 2015 Order to 20 Show Cause on August 10, 2015 by U.S. Mail. Dkt. 15. The Clerk again notified Plaintiff he is 21 required to comply with the Court's E-Filing Program on August 10, 2015. Despite two 22 warnings, Plaintiff has again submitted a filing by U.S. Mail. Dkt. 16. Plaintiff alleges, without 23 24

specific detail, the administrators at WSP are refusing to file his injunction motion and his Complaint. See Dkt. 16. Accordingly, it is **ORDERED**: (1) Plaintiff shall provide a written, detailed explanation regarding why he is failing to comply with the mandatory E-Filing Program. This explanation must be provided to the Court on or before September 25, 2015. If Plaintiff fails to provide a meaningful response to this Order, all future filings submitted by Plaintiff after September 19, 2015 not in compliance with the E-Filing Program will be returned to him unfiled. (2) The Clerk shall provide a copy of this Order to Plaintiff. Dated this 24th day of August, 2015. United States Magistrate Judge