1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7		
8	KPI BRIDGE OIL SINGAPORE PTE	
9	LTD.,	CASE NO. C15-5282 BHS
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
11	v.	
12	M/V CITY OF TOKYO,	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff KPI Bridge Oil Singapore PTE	
16	Ltd.'s ("Plaintiff") motion for arrest of vessel and attachment of property (Dkt. 2).	
	On April 30, 2015, Plaintiff filed the instant motion requesting attachment in an	
17	amount up to \$241,005.03, which included \$75,000 for expected costs and fees "in legal	
18	proceedings to be commenced before the High Court of Singapore." Dkt. 1, ¶ 39. The	
19	Court excluded these "expected" fees from the proposed order of forfeiture. Plaintiff	
20	may show cause, if any there may be, why these hypothetical fees should be included in	
21	the prejudgment attachment of the vessel in question. Any response shall be filed no later	
22	and projudgment accomment of the vesser in qu	issued. They responde shall be filed no later

than May 8, 2015. Upon a sufficient showing of cause, the Court may revise the amount of attachment. IT SI SO ORDERED. Dated this 1st day of May, 2015. United States District Judge