

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT TACOMA

10 GREGORY ANTONIO WRIGHT,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 GRANT AUSTIN.

14 Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:15-CV-05887-BHS-JRC

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
AMEND

15 The District Court has referred this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action to United States
16 Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura. The Court's authority for the referral is 28 U.S.C. §
17 636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and Magistrate Judge Rules MJR3 and MJR4.

18 Before the Court is plaintiff's motion to amend his complaint. Dkt. 9. The Court grants
19 plaintiff's motion as a matter of course. Plaintiff must file his amended complaint on or before
20 February 4, 2016. However, plaintiff is also advised that his amended complaint must only
21 address one claim or related incidents.

22 DISCUSSION

23 Pursuant to Rule 15(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,
24

1 A party may amend its pleading once as a matter of course within:
2 (A) 21 days after serving it, or
3 (B) if the pleading is one to which a responsive pleading is required,
4 21 days after service of a responsive pleading or 21 days after
5 service of a motion under Rule 12(b), (e), or (f), whichever is
6 earlier.

7 Plaintiff filed his motion to amend prior to service and prior to the filing of a responsive
8 pleading. *See* Docket. Therefore, plaintiff has the right to file an amended complaint as a matter
9 of course. “When the plaintiff has the right to file an amended complaint as a matter of course, [
10] the plain language of Rule 15(a) shows that the court lacks the discretion to reject the amended
11 complaint based on its alleged futility.” *Thomas v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.*, 2007 WL 2140917,
12 * 2 (N.D. Cal. July 25, 2007) (quoting *Williams v. Board of Regents of University System of*
13 *Georgia*, 477 F.3d 1282, 1292 n. 6 (11th Cir. 2007)). Accordingly, plaintiff’s motion (Dkt. 9) is
14 granted.

15 However, plaintiff is advised that the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure set forth the rules
16 regarding joinder of parties or claims. “A party asserting a claim, counterclaim, crossclaim, or
17 third-party claim may join, as independent or alternate claims, as many claims as it has against
18 an opposing party.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 18(a); *see also, Aul v. Allstate Life Ins. Co.*, 993 F.2d 881,
19 884 (9th Cir. 1993) (“A claim based on different rights and established by different transactional
20 facts will be a different cause of action.”). A claim may be brought against multiple defendants
21 so long as (1) the claim arises out of the same transaction or occurrence, or series of transactions
22 and occurrences, and (2) there are common questions of law or fact. Fed. R. Civ. P. 20(a)(2);
23 *Coughlin v. Rogers*, 130 F.3d 1348, 1351 (9th Cir. 1997); *Desert Empire Bank v. Insurance Co.*
24 *of North America*, 623 F.3d 1371, 1375 (9th Cir. 1980).


1 “Unrelated claims against different defendants belong in different suits, not only to
2 prevent the sort of morass [a multiple claim, multiple defendant] suit produce[s], but also to
3 ensure that prisoners pay the required filing fees-for the Prison Litigation Reform Act limits to 3
4 the number of frivolous suits or appeals that any prisoner may file without prepayment of the
5 required fees. 28 U .S.C. § 1915(g).” *George v. Smith*, 507 F.3d 605, 607 (7th Cir. 2007). Thus, a
6 plaintiff may not change the nature of his suit by adding new, unrelated claims in his amended
7 complaint. *George*, 507 F.3d at 607 (no “buckshot” complaints).

8 The Court notes that the claim that plaintiff seeks to add in his motion to amend does not
9 conform with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 18 and 20, and cannot proceed in a single action.
10 Plaintiff’s claim against defendant Austin relates to an incident in January 2015 and an allegation
11 that defendant Austin attempted to kill plaintiff while plaintiff was choking. Dkt. 8. Plaintiff’s
12 motion to amend discusses a different incident on December 12, 2015 involving defendant
13 Conmed and a denial of adequate medical treatment for plaintiff’s seizures. Dkt. 9. These claims
14 belong in separate complaints, each subject to separate filing fees and screening requirements.

15 CONCLUSION

16 The Court grants plaintiff’s motion (Dkt. 9) and plaintiff is granted leave to amend his
17 complaint on or before February 4, 2016. Plaintiff’s amended complaint must only address one
18 claim or related incidents. Plaintiff may decide which of his claims he wishes to proceed on in
19 this action, and which he will raise in other actions.

20 Dated this 4th day of January, 2016.

21 
22 J. Richard Creatura
23 United States Magistrate Judge
24