1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
5	AT TACOMA	
6	GREGORY ANTONIO WRIGHT,	CASE NO. C15-5887BHS
7	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT
8	V.	AND RECOMMENDATION
9	GRANT AUSTIN, et al.,	
10	Defendants.	
11		
12	This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R&R")	
12	of the Honorable J. Richard Creatura, United States Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 45), and	
13	Plaintiff Gregory Antonio Wright's ("Plaintiff") objections to the R&R (Dkt. 46).	
15	On December 30, 2015, Plaintiff filed his complaint. Dkt. 8. On March 14, 2016,	
16	Judge Creatura ordered Plaintiff to show cause why his complaint should not be	
17	dismissed for failure to state a claim. Dkt. 18. On April 26, 2016, lacking a response from	
18	Plaintiff, Judge Creatura again ordered Plaintiff to file an amended complaint or show	
19	cause why his complaint should not be dismissed on or before May 27, 2016. Dkt. 19.	
20	Plaintiff filed his second amended complaint on May 19, 2016. Dkt. 20.	
21	On December 22, 2016, Defendants moved for summary judgment. Dkt. 35. In	
22	support of their motion, Defendants submitte	d declarations and a DVD containing jail

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1

1 surveillance video. Dkts. 36, 37, 38, 39. On January 17, 2017, Plaintiff submitted a letter 2 with attached photos that he argues support his claim. Dkt. 42. Judge Creatura construed 3 this letter and attachments as a response to Defendants' motion for summary judgment. 4 See Dkt. 43. On January 20, 2017, Defendants replied. Dkt. 44.

5 On February 2, 2017, Judge Creatura issued the R&R, recommending that the Court grant Defendants' motion for summary judgment and dismiss Plaintiff's claims for 6 failure to exhaust administrative remedies. Dkt. 45. On March 6, 2017, Plaintiff objected 8 to the R&R. Dkt. 46. On March 24, 2017, Defendants responded. Dkt. 47.

9 The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's 10 disposition that has been properly objected to. The district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to the 12 magistrate judge with instructions. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

13 Plaintiff's objections do not address Judge Creatura's basis for dismissal. 14 Petitioner argues that he was not allowed to file grievances while placed on suicide 15 watch, but the R&R focuses on his failure to pursue administrative remedies upon his 16 release. Dkt. 45 at 9–10. The Court agrees with the R&R. The fact that Plaintiff filed 17 numerous unrelated grievances after the events giving rise to his lawsuit indicate that 18 Plaintiff was able to pursue the Jail's administrative remedies, but simply failed to do so. See Dkt. 36 at 3. 19

20 Also, although Plaintiff levels serious allegations surrounding his recent inability 21 to file a grievance while on suicide watch—namely, that he was provided two grievances 22 without writing utensils and then instructed to write his grievances in blood—these

7

11

1	allegations are unrelated to the claims set out in Plaintiff's amended complaint. <sup>1</sup> The		
2	allegations in Plaintiff's objections deal with recent events and the grievance forms		
3	attached to his objections are dated recently. <i>See</i> Dkt. 46. Plaintiff's complaint, however,		
4	is based on alleged incidents occurring in 2015. Dkt. 20. Plaintiff's allegations pertaining		
5	to recent events do not state any cognizable objections to the R&R or Judge Creatura's		
6	basis for recommending the dismissal of the claims asserted in the complaint.		
7	As a final note, the Court informs Plaintiff that, in the future, any documents		
8	appearing or purporting to be written in bodily fluids will be rejected by the Clerk and		
9	immediately destroyed, without submission to the Court.		
10	The Court having considered the R&R, Plaintiff's objections, and the remaining		
11	record, does hereby find and order as follows:		
12	(1) The R&R is <b>ADOPTED</b> ; and		
13	(2) This action is <b>DISMISSED</b> .		
14	Dated this 29th day of March, 2017.		
15	k. AC		
16	BENJAMIN H. SETTLE		
17	United States District Judge		
18			
19			
20			
21	<sup>1</sup> The Court notes that Plaintiff's allegations are belied by (1) the content of the grievance forms attached to his objections, (2) the fact that he submitted to the Court the original grievance forms that		
22	were written in blood—meaning that they were not actually used as grievances—and (3) the fact they are attached to a letter written in ink.		