1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT TAC	OMA
10	PETER J. MCDANIELS,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 3:15-cv-05943-BHS-DWC
12	v.	ORDER GRANTING IN PART MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
13	BELINDA STEWART, et al.,	EXCESS PAGES
14	Defendants.	
15		1
16	Plaintiff Peter J. McDaniels, proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights Complaint pursuant	
17	to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Presently before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Excess	
18	Pages ("Motion"). Dkt. 245. ¹	
19	Pursuant to Local Rule 7, Motions for Summary Judgment and briefs in opposition "shall	
20	not exceed twenty-four pages." LCR 7(e)(3). If a party desires to go over that limit, the party	
21	must file a motion of no more than two pages no later than three days before the deadline for the	
22		
23		
	¹ The Court notes Defendants' Motion for Summar	y Judgment is also pending before the Court. Dkt. 231.

²⁴ The Court will address that Motion in a separate Report and Recommendation.

pleading. LCR 7(f). Motions requesting leave to exceed the page limit are generally disfavored.
Id.

3	Here, Plaintiff has asked permission to file a 98 page Response – 74 pages over the limit	
4	prescribed the LCR 7. Dkt. 245. He argues he is not versed in the law and so has difficulty with	
5	concise legal writing, he does not possess a work processor, and he insists that this is the shortest	
6	draft he is able to provide. Dkt. 245.	
7	The Court believes 98 pages is excessive and will not grant Plaintiff leave to file such a	
8	lengthy pleading. However, the Court recognizes Defendants have raised nine grounds in their	
9	Motion for Summary Judgment and addressing so many grounds as a pro se litigant may take	
10	additional space. Dkt. 231.	
11	Therefore it is ORDERED:	
12	1) Plaintiff's Motion (Dkt. 245) is granted in part. Plaintiff may file an Amended	
13	Response to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment that is no longer than 50	
14	pages. Plaintiff is also reminded that exhibits, declarations, and other supporting	
15	documentation will not count toward this page limit.	
16	2) Plaintiff shall file his Amended Response on or before August 13, 2018. Failure to	
17	file an Amended Response by that date will result in the Court considering only the	
18	first 50 pages of Plaintiff's current Response (Dkt. 246) when the Court makes a	
19	determination on the Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 231).	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	3) The Clerk is directed to renote Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt.
2	231) to August 17, 2018.
3	Dated this 16th day of July, 2018.
4	Xto Christel
5	David W. Christel
6	United States Magistrate Judge
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	