

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

DONALD C HAYES,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF WASHINGTON,
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,
et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:16-CV-05095-BHS-DWC

ORDER

The District Court has referred this action, filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, to United States Magistrate Judge David W. Christel. Plaintiff filed a “Motion for Sureply (sic) and Response to Document 259,” wherein he files a surreply to Defendants’ Reply to Plaintiff’s Response to Defendants’ Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment. Dkt. 262. Pursuant to Local Rule CR 7(g)(2), surreplies are limited to requests to strike material contained in or attached to a reply brief. “Extraneous argument or a surreply filed for any other reason will not be considered.” *Id*; see also *Herrandez v. Stryker Corp.*, 2015 WL 11714363, at *2 (W.D. Wash. Mar. 13, 2015). As Plaintiff does not request to strike material contained in Defendants’ Reply

1 and as surreplies are not considered by this Court, the Motion (Dkt. 262) is denied. The Court
2 will not consider Docket Entry 262 when ruling on Defendants' Cross-Motion for Summary
3 Judgment.

4 Dated this 21st day of December, 2017.

5 

6 _____
7 David W. Christel
8 United States Magistrate Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24