

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

KEITH ADAIR DAVIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

WASHINGTON STATE
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,
et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:16-CV-05129-BHS-DWC

ORDER TO PROVIDE ADDRESSES

Plaintiff Keith Adair Davis, proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*, filed this civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On July 11, 2016, the Court directed service of Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint on the named Defendants. Dkt. 13. The Clerk’s Office mailed the Complaint and waiver of service forms to each Defendant. However, the mailings sent to Defendants ADA Coordinator, Dale Robertson, D MacWilliam, and John Doe, Secretary were returned to the Court as “undeliverable.” Dkt. 14-17. Plaintiff also named Jane Does 1-10 and John Does 1-15 as defendants in this case, but failed to provide any identification of the Doe Defendants which would allow the Court to effect service. *See* Dkt. 11.

1 While the Court has the duty to serve the summons and complaint, *see* 28 U.S.C. §
2 1915(d), an IFP plaintiff still bears the burden of providing accurate and sufficient information to
3 effect service. *See Walker v. Sumner*, 14 F.3d 1415 (9th Cir. 1994); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4.
4 When an IFP plaintiff fails to provide the Court with accurate and sufficient information to effect
5 service of the summons and complaint, it is appropriate for the Court to *sua sponte* dismiss the
6 unserved defendant. *Walker*, 14 F.3d at 1421-22 (quoting *Puett v. Blanford*, 912 F.2d 270, 275
7 (9th Cir. 1990), *abrogated on other grounds by Sandin v. Connor*, 515 U.S. 472 (1995)).

8 Plaintiff is directed to provide the complete names and addresses for Defendants ADA
9 Coordinator, Dale Robertson, D. MacWilliam, John Doe, Secretary, Jane Does 1-10, and John
10 Does 1-15. If Plaintiff is unable to provide the Court with the names and addresses of these
11 Defendants by October 3, 2016, the Court will recommend dismissal of these Defendants from
12 this action for failure to prosecute.¹

13 Dated this 1st day of September, 2016.

14 

15 _____
16 David W. Christel
17 United States Magistrate Judge

18
19
20
21
22 _____
23 ¹ The Court notes Defendant Dean Mason failed to file a waiver of service. Defendant Mason, however,
24 filed an Answer and did not raise insufficient service as an affirmative defense. *See* Dkt. 43. Therefore, the Court
will not direct personal service on Defendant Mason, but requests Defendant Mason or his counsel file a waiver of
service to perfect the record.