

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

SHAWNA L. ROUSE,

Plaintiff,

V.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting  
Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C16-5134BHS-JDP

## ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting  
Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”)

of the Honorable James P. Donohue, United States Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 17), and

Plaintiff Shawna Rouse's ("Rouse") objections to the R&R (Dkt. 19).

On October 24, 2016, Judge Donohue issued the R&R recommending that the

Court affirm the Commissioner's denial of Rouse's application for benefits. Dkt. 17. On

November 7, 2016, Rouse filed objections. Dkt. 19.

The district judge must determine *de novo* any part of the magistrate judge's

disposition that has been properly objected to. The district judge may accept, reject, or

modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to the

magistrate judge with instructions. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

In this case, Rouse objects to the P&P's conclusions regarding her hearing loss.

herself, and the Administrative Law Judge's ("ALJ") ten-five finding. Dkt. 17

<sup>2</sup> See also the discussion of the implications of the new data for the theory of the evolution of the language in the introduction to the present volume.

what the ALJ found to be her limitations. Dkt. 10 at 2-9. The Court, however, finds that

1 the medical evidence does not support additional limitations and the R&R properly  
2 evaluated the issues.

3 Regarding Rouse's credibility, she argues that the ALJ erred in finding Rouse less  
4 than credible. Dkt. 19 at 10. The Court, however, agrees with the R&R that one valid  
5 reason exists to support the ALJ's finding. Dkt. 17 at 12–15. Accordingly, the ALJ's  
6 determination is subject to deference, and the Court adopts the R&R on this issue.

7 Finally, Rouse argues that the Court should reject the R&R's recommendation that  
8 the Court should affirm the ALJ's step five finding. Dkt. 19 at 11. This argument,  
9 however, is based on the allegedly flawed conclusion regarding Rouse's limitations.  
10 Because the Court affirms the ALJ's limitations, the Court also affirms the ALJ's step  
11 five finding.

12 Therefore, the Court having considered the R&R, Rouse's objections, and the  
13 remaining record, does hereby find and order as follows:

14 (1) The R&R is **ADOPTED**;

15 (2) The Commissioner's denial of Rouse's application for benefits is  
16 **AFFIRMED**;

17 (3) This action is **DISMISSED**; and

18 (4) The Clerk shall close this case.

19 Dated this 18th day of January, 2017.

20  
21  
22



BENJAMIN H. SETTLE  
United States District Judge