

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

SHAWNA L. ROUSE,

Plaintiff,

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting  
Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C16-5134BHS-JDP

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT  
AND RECOMMENDATION

This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of the Honorable James P. Donohue, United States Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 17), and Plaintiff Shawna Rouse’s (“Rouse”) objections to the R&R (Dkt. 19).

On October 24, 2016, Judge Donohue issued the R&R recommending that the Court affirm the Commissioner’s denial of Rouse’s application for benefits. Dkt. 17. On November 7, 2016, Rouse filed objections. Dkt. 19.

The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge’s disposition that has been properly objected to. The district judge may accept, reject, or modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

In this case, Rouse objects to the R&R’s conclusions regarding her hearing loss, her credibility, and the Administrative Law Judge’s (“ALJ”) step five finding. Dkt. 17. On the issue of Rouse’s hearing, she argues that she requires work limitations beyond what the ALJ found to be her limitations. Dkt. 19 at 3–9. The Court, however, finds that

1 the medical evidence does not support additional limitations and the R&R properly  
2 evaluated the issues.

3       Regarding Rouse's credibility, she argues that the ALJ erred in finding Rouse less  
4 than credible. Dkt. 19 at 10. The Court, however, agrees with the R&R that one valid  
5 reason exists to support the ALJ's finding. Dkt. 17 at 12–15. Accordingly, the ALJ's  
6 determination is subject to deference, and the Court adopts the R&R on this issue.

7       Finally, Rouse argues that the Court should reject the R&R's recommendation that  
8 the Court should affirm the ALJ's step five finding. Dkt. 19 at 11. This argument,  
9 however, is based on the allegedly flawed conclusion regarding Rouse's limitations.  
10 Because the Court affirms the ALJ's limitations, the Court also affirms the ALJ's step  
11 five finding.

12       Therefore, the Court having considered the R&R, Rouse's objections, and the  
13 remaining record, does hereby find and order as follows:

- 14       (1)   The R&R is **ADOPTED**;
- 15       (2)   The Commissioner's denial of Rouse's application for benefits is  
16       **AFFIRMED**;
- 17       (3)   This action is **DISMISSED**; and
- 18       (4)   The Clerk shall close this case.

19       Dated this 18th day of January, 2017.

20  
21 

22 BENJAMIN H. SETTLE  
United States District Judge