1		HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
8	ANDREW STRICK,	CASE NO. C16-5229 RBL
9	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
10	V.	PROCEED IFP
11	NISQUALLY DELTA RIVER	DKT. #1
12	ENTRANCE,	
13	Respondent.	
14	THIS MATTER is before the Court on Petitioner Strick's Motion to Proceed <i>in Forma</i>	
15		
16	<i>Pauperis</i> [Dkt. #1]. Strick sues the Nisqually Delta River Entrance, alleging four military police	
17	officers assaulted him there.	
18	A district court may permit indigent litigants to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> upon	
19	completion of a proper affidavit of indigency. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). The Court has broad	
20	discretion in resolving the application, but "the privilege of proceeding <i>in forma pauperis</i> in civil	
21	actions for damages should be sparingly granted." Weller v. Dickson, 314 F.2d 598, 600 (9th Cir.	
22	1963), cert. denied 375 U.S. 845 (1963). Moreover, a court should "deny leave to proceed in	
	forma pauperis at the outset if it appears from the face of the proposed complaint that the action	
23	forma pauperis at the outset if it appears from the	face of the proposed complaint that the action

1

1	1987) (citations omitted); see also 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i). An in forma pauperis complaint
2	is frivolous if "it ha[s] no arguable substance in law or fact." Id. (citing Rizzo v. Dawson, 778
3	F.2d 527, 529 (9th Cir. 1985); see also Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1228 (9th Cir. 1984).
4	Strick's action against the Nisqually River Delta Entrance—a place—is meritless. His
5	Motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis [Dkt. #1] is DENIED. Strick shall pay the filing fee or
6	submit a proposed amended complaint addressing this deficiency within 30 days, or the case will
7	be dismissed without further notice.
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	Dated this 29 th day of March, 2016.
10	
11	Ronald B. Leighton
12	United States District Judge
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	