

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT TACOMA

10 WILLIAM W. ROSS,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT
14 OF CORRECTIONS, et al,

15 Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:16-cv-05469-RJB-TLF

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION TO ALLOW INMATE
ADVISOR

16
17 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Allow Inmate Advisor.

18 The Court has considered Defendants' Response and the remainder of the file herein. Dkt. 67.

19 Plaintiff seeks relief to allow a fellow inmate to accompany him as an advisor to the
20 November 7, 2017 hearing "as well as any subsequent proceedings." Dkt. 65 at 1. According to
21 Plaintiff, Plaintiff has "limited knowledge of the law, lack of education, special needs, and
22 probable TBI (traumatic brain injury)," whereas a fellow inmate "is better able to frame, review,
23 understand and articulate" Plaintiff's positions. *Id.*

1 Defendants argue that the motion is moot, because the November 7, 2017 hearing has
2 passed. Dkt. 67 at 1. To the extent the motion is not moot, Defendants continue, (1) no other
3 hearings are currently set, and such settings are rare, and (2) the State of Washington prohibits
4 the advice or representation sought by Plaintiff, because non-attorneys cannot represent or
5 provide legal advice. Dkt. 67 at 2.

6 Defendants are correct. Plaintiff's motion is moot as to the November 7, 2017 hearing,
7 and although trial will be set if this case proceeds past dispositive motions, at present there are no
8 other hearings set. The only current setting is the December 22, 2017 noting date for
9 consideration of the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 45). The Court typically considers
10 Reports and Recommendations without oral argument, and at present the Court does not
11 anticipate any departure from its general practice in this case. Defendants are also correct that
12 non-attorneys cannot represent Plaintiff in front of this Court, and Plaintiff has made no showing
13 that the inmate is a licensed attorney. Even if oral argument were currently set, allowing another
14 inmate to advise Plaintiff at such a hearing would not be permissible.

15 Plaintiff's Motion to Allow Inmate Advisor (Dkt. 67) is HEREBY DENIED.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and
18 to any party appearing pro se at said party's last known address.

19 Dated this 29th day of November, 2017.

20
21 

22 ROBERT J. BRYAN
23 United States District Judge
24