

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
6 AT TACOMA

7 JOHN BRADFORD O'LEARY,
8
9 Petitioner,

v.

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
11
12 Respondent.

CASE NO. C16-5531 BHS
CR12-5400 BHS

ORDER GRANTING PETITION,
GRANTING PETITIONER'S
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
EXCESS PAGES, AND DENYING
RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO
STAY

13
14 This matter comes before the Court on John Bradford O'Leary's ("Petitioner")
15 motion to vacate, set aside or correct sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Dkt. 1),
16 Petitioner's motion for leave to file excess pages (Dkt. 11), and Respondent United States
17 of America's ("Government") motion to stay (Dkt. 4). The Court has considered the
18 pleadings filed in support of and in opposition to the motion and the remainder of the file
19 and hereby rules as follows:

20 **I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY**

21 On February 14, 2013, Petitioner pled guilty to one count of possession of a stolen
22 firearm in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(j). CR12-5400 BHS, Dkts. 31, 32. As part of the

1 plea agreement, Petitioner conceded that he had at least two prior felonies, including
2 second-degree assault and first-degree burglary. *See id.* Petitioner’s base offense level
3 was 24 under the sentencing guidelines because he committed the offense subsequent to
4 sustaining at least two felony crimes of violence. U.S.S.G. § 2K2.1(a)(2). The notes for
5 this section of the guidelines provide that the phrase “crime of violence” has the meaning
6 as set forth in U.S.S.G. § 4B1.2(a), which contains a residual clause. On May 30, 2013,
7 the Court sentenced Petitioner to 60 months imprisonment followed by three years of
8 supervised release. CR12-5400 BHS, Dkts. 43–45.

9 On June 24, 2016, Petitioner filed the instant motion seeking relief under *Johnson*
10 *v. United States*, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015), and *Welch v. United States*, 136 S. Ct. 1257
11 (2016). Dkt. 1. On July 5, 2016, the Government moved to stay pending the Supreme
12 Court’s decision in *Beckles v. United States*, No. 15-8544. Dkt. 4. On September 2, 2016,
13 the Government responded. Dkt. 8. On October 3, 2016, Petitioner replied, Dkt. 10, and
14 filed a motion for leave to file excess pages, Dkt. 11.¹

15 II. DISCUSSION

16 Courts in this district, and across the nation, have already addressed the majority
17 of the issues presented by the parties. Therefore, “[t]he Court declines to reinvent the
18 wheel and, instead, will simply adopt opinions that it finds persuasive on the particular
19 issue addressed.” *Nedrow v. United States*, C16-5448BHS, 2016 WL 6267805, at *1
20 (W.D. Wash. Oct. 26, 2016).

21
22 ¹ The Court previously granted the Government’s motion for leave to file excess pages,
see Dkt. 9, and will similarly accept Petitioner’s overlength reply brief.

1 **A. Motion to Stay**

2 The Government seeks a stay pending the Supreme Court’s expected decision in
3 *Beckles*. Dkt. 4. The Court denies the Government’s motion because “this [is] a habeas
4 case challenging an unconstitutional confinement, [and] it is also unclear when or if the
5 Supreme Court will decide *Beckles*.” *Knox v. United States*, No. C16-5502BHS, 2016
6 WL 3906915, at *2 (W.D. Wash. July 19, 2016).

7 **B. § 2255**

8 First, the Government argues that Petitioner waived any right to appeal or
9 collaterally attack his sentence. Dkt. 8 at 9–10. The Court disagrees. Petitioner’s sentence
10 violates the law; therefore, his appellate waiver does not apply. *United States v. Bibler*,
11 495 F.3d 621, 624 (9th Cir. 2007); *Gilbert v. United States*, Case No. 15-cv-1855-JCC,
12 2016 WL 3443898, at *2 (W.D. Wash. June 23, 2016); *Dietrick v. United States*, No.
13 C16-705 MJP, 2016 WL 4399589, at *2 (W.D. Wash. Aug. 18, 2016).

14 Second, the Government argues that the petition is procedurally barred. Dkt. 8 at
15 10–15. The Court disagrees and adopts the reasoning of other courts in this district. *See*
16 *Nedrow*, 2016 WL 6267805 at *2. Petitioner’s *Johnson* claim is not procedurally
17 defaulted because he has demonstrated cause and prejudice. *See Gilbert*, 2016 WL
18 3443898, at *2–3 (finding the cause requirement satisfied in this context because *Johnson*
19 explicitly overruled the holdings in *Sykes v. United States*, 564 U.S. 1 (2011), and *James*
20 *v. United States*, 550 U.S. 192 (2007), that the ACCA residual clause was constitutional);
21 *Dietrick*, 2016 WL 4399589, at *3 (same).

1 Third, the Government argues that *Johnson* does not apply retroactively to the
2 sentencing guidelines. Dkt. 8 at 16–24. The Court disagrees, adopts the reasoning of
3 previous decisions in this district, and concludes that *Johnson* is retroactively applicable
4 in this context. *See Nedrow*, 2016 WL 6267805 at *2; *Gilbert*, 2016 WL 3443898 at *3–
5 *6; *Dietrick*, 2016 WL 4399589 at *3. *See also Welch*, 136 S. Ct. 1257; *Reina-Rodriguez*
6 *v. United States*, 655 F.3d 1182, 1189 (9th Cir. 2011).

7 Fourth, the Government argues that Petitioner bears the burden of showing that the
8 Court necessarily relied upon the residual clause when it found that his prior offenses
9 were predicate offenses. Dkt. 4 at 25–29. The Court disagrees, adopts the reasoning of
10 previous decisions in this district, and concludes that Petitioner may challenge whether
11 any underlying predicate offenses qualified under the residual clause of the sentencing
12 guidelines. *Dietrick*, 2016 WL 4399589 at *3; *Gibson v. United States*, No. C15-5737
13 BHS, 2016 WL 3349350, at *1 (W.D. Wash. June 15, 2016).

14 Finally, the Government argues that Petitioner’s second-degree assault conviction
15 is a crime of violence, Dkt. 8 at 30–33, but concedes that Petitioner’s burglary conviction
16 is no longer a predicate crime of violence. Dkt. 8 at 11 n.9. The Government’s concession
17 on the latter issue is sufficient cause to grant the petition. The Court will address the
18 Government’s and Petitioner’s arguments regarding the second-degree assault conviction
19 at the resentencing hearing.

20 III. ORDER

21 Therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Petitioner’s motion to vacate, set aside or
22 correct sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Dkt. 1) is **GRANTED**, the Government’s

1 motion to stay (Dkt. 4) is **DENIED**, and Petitioner's motion for leave to file excess pages
2 (Dkt. 11) is **GRANTED**. The parties shall work with the Clerk to schedule an
3 expeditious resentencing in the criminal case. The Clerk shall close this case.

4 Dated this 10th day of November, 2016.

5
6 

7 BENJAMIN H. SETTLE
8 United States District Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22