

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

VICTORIA FIRE & CASUALTY  
COMPANY, an Ohio corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

ELIA VERGARA DE CAMILO,  
individually and as part of her marital  
community, ROMAN CAMILO,  
individually, and as part of his marital  
community, doing business as BRIGHT  
CLEANING, BRIGHT CLEANING,  
ROBERT JACKSON III, TERESA  
MURRAY, AND MUNCHKINLAND  
CHILDCARE & PRESCHOOL, INC., a  
Washington corporation,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 16-5745 RJB

ORDER ON VARIOUS MOTIONS

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff’s Motion for Entry of Default against Defendant Elia Vergara De Camilo (Dkt. 26), Motion to Extend Time for Service on Defendant Roman Camilo (Dkt. 20), Motion to Allow Service by Publication (Dkt. 18), Notice of Voluntary Dismissal of Robert Jackson III pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41 (a)(1)(A)(i) (Dkt. 24), Notice of

1 Voluntary Dismissal of Teresa Murray pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41 (a)(1)(A)(i) (Dkt. 23), and  
2 Stipulation to Dismiss Munchkinland Childcare and Preschool, Inc. pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.  
3 41 (a)(1)(A)(ii) (Dkt. 25). The Court has reviewed the pleadings filed regarding the motions and  
4 the remaining file.

5 Filed on August 29, 2016, this commercial insurance coverage case rises from an  
6 automobile accident between Elia Vergara De Camilo and Robert Jackson III (Teresa Murray  
7 was a passenger), which resulted in bodily injury to Jackson and Murray and property damage to  
8 a nearby daycare center, Munchkinland, Childcare and Preschool, Inc. Dkt. 1. Plaintiff contends  
9 that the policy at issue does not cover the accident. *Id.* Plaintiff seeks declaratory relief. *Id.*

10 The relevant facts and pending motions are addressed in this order by Defendant.

11 **Defendant Elia Vergara De Camilo.** Plaintiff moves for entry of default against  
12 Defendant Elia Vergara De Camilo pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a). Dkt. 26.

13 Rule 55 (a) provides: “[w]hen a party against whom a judgment for affirmative relief is  
14 sought has failed to plead or otherwise defend, and that failure is shown by affidavit or  
15 otherwise, the clerk must enter the party's default.”

16 On September 13, 2016, Plaintiff served the summons and complaint on Elia Vergara De  
17 Camilo. Dkt. 12. Over 20 days have lapsed, and this Defendant has not appeared, answered or  
18 otherwise defended this lawsuit. Pursuant to Rule 55, Plaintiff’s motion (Dkt. 26) should be  
19 granted and the clerk should be directed to enter judgment against Defendant Elia Vergara De  
20 Camilo.

21 **Defendant Roman Camilo dba Bright Cleaning.** Plaintiff has filed to motions related  
22 to Defendant Roman Camilo dba Bright Cleaning: a Motion to Extend Time for Service (Dkt.  
23 20) and a Motion to Allow Service by Publication (Dkt. 18).

1 According to Plaintiff, Defendant Roman Camilo cannot presently be located. Dkt. 19.  
2 Seven attempts have been made to serve him at various addresses with a summons and the  
3 Complaint without success. Dkt. 19, at 2; and 18-24.

4 Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to serve Defendant Roman Camilo (Dkt. 20)  
5 should be granted. Plaintiff has shown good cause for a 90 extension of the deadline.

6 Plaintiff's motion to allow it to serve Defendant Roman Camilo by publication in the  
7 Tacoma News Tribune (Dkt. 18) should be granted. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (e)(1) provides that  
8 "service of an individual . . . may be effected in any judicial district of the United States pursuant  
9 to the law of the state in which the district court is located." Under Washington law,

10 When the defendant cannot be found within the state, and upon the filing of an  
11 affidavit of the plaintiff, his or her agent, or attorney, with the clerk of the court,  
12 stating that he or she believes that the defendant is not a resident of the state, or  
13 cannot be found therein, and that he or she has deposited a copy of the summons  
14 (substantially in the form prescribed in RCW 4.28.110) and complaint in the post  
office, directed to the defendant at his or her place of residence, unless it is stated  
in the affidavit that such residence is not known to the affiant, and stating the  
existence of one of the cases hereinafter specified, the service may be made by  
publication of the summons, by the plaintiff or his or her attorney . . .

15 (2) When the defendant, being a resident of this state, has departed therefrom with  
16 intent to defraud his or her creditors, or to avoid the service of a summons, or  
keeps himself or herself concealed therein with like intent. . . .

17 RCW 4.28.100. Under RCW 4.28.110,  
18

19 The publication shall be made in a newspaper of general circulation in the county  
where the action is brought once a week for six consecutive weeks: PROVIDED,  
20 That publication of summons shall not be made until after the filing of the  
complaint, and the service of the summons shall be deemed complete at the  
21 expiration of the time prescribed for publication. The summons must be  
subscribed by the plaintiff or his or her attorney or attorneys. The summons shall  
22 contain the date of the first publication, and shall require the defendant or  
defendants upon whom service by publication is desired, to appear and answer the  
23 complaint within sixty days from the date of the first publication of the summons;  
and the summons for publication shall also contain a brief statement of the object  
24 of the action.

1 It appears that after a diligent effort, Plaintiff has not been able to locate Defendant  
2 Roman Camilo or determine his current address. Plaintiff has clearly articulated facts to meet  
3 the conditions of RCW 4.28.100. Plaintiff's motion to allow it to serve Defendant Roman  
4 Camilo by publication in the Tacoma News Tribune (Dkt. 18) should be granted.

5 **Defendant Bright Cleaning.** There is no pending motion related to Bright Cleaning.  
6 While Plaintiff has made motions regarding Roman Camilo dba Bright Cleaning, Bright  
7 Cleaning also appears to be named as an independent defendant.

8 **Defendants Robert Jackson III and Teresa Murray.** Plaintiff has filed notices that it  
9 is voluntarily dismissing the claims asserted against Defendants Robert Jackson III and Teresa  
10 Murray without prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41. Dkts. 24 and 23. These parties should  
11 be dismissed without prejudice.

12 **Defendant Munchkinland Childcare and Preschool, Inc.** Plaintiff and Defendant  
13 Munchkinland Childcare and Preschool, Inc. filed a Stipulation to Dismiss Munchkinland  
14 Childcare and Preschool, Inc. pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41 (a)(1)(A)(ii) without prejudice. Dkt.  
15 25. This Defendant should also be dismissed without prejudice.

## 16 ORDER

17 It is **ORDERED** that:

- 18 • Plaintiff's Motion for Entry of Default against Defendant Elia Vergara De Camilo (Dkt.  
19 26) **IS GRANTED**,
  - 20 ○ The clerk is directed to enter default against Defendant Elia Vergara De Camilo;
- 21 • Plaintiff's Motion to Extend Time for Service on Defendant Roman Camilo (Dkt. 20) **IS**  
22 **GRANTED**,

