HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 7 8 KENNETH TAYLOR CURRY, CASE NO. C16-5784 RBL 9 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION v. 10 VANCOUVER HOUSING 11 AUTHORITY, et al., 12 Defendants. 13 THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff Kenneth Curry's Motion for 14 Reconsideration [Dkt. #41] of the Court's Order granting summary judgment to Defendant 15 Vancouver Housing Authority [Dkt. #39]. Curry's motion restates his perceived grievances 16 against VHA and asserts "that the Court does not have a correct view of the facts or accurate 17 law." Dkt. 41 at 1. 18 Under Local Rule 7(h)(1), motions for reconsideration are disfavored, and will ordinarily 19 be denied unless there is a showing of (a) manifest error in the ruling, or (b) facts or legal 20 authority which could not have been brought to the attention of the court earlier, through 21 reasonable diligence. The term "manifest error" is "an error that is plain and indisputable, and 22 that amounts to a complete disregard of the controlling law or the credible evidence in the 23 record." Black's Law Dictionary 622 (9th ed. 2009).

24