1	Scott v. Van Hoo	k "		Doc. 18 Att. 2
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13				
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13				
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1			
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	2			
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA AT TACOMA 15 7 Plaintiff. Case No. C04-5147RJB 19 MARK SELING, et al., Defendants. 7 Plaintiff, V. 10 Defendants. 7 Plaintiff, V. 10 Defendants. 7 Plaintiff, V. 10 JOSEPH LEHMAN, Defendant. 10 ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1	3			
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	4			
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	5			
8 9 10 11 12 13	6			
9 10 11 12 13 14	7			
10 11 12 13 14	8			
11 12 13	9			
12 13 14 14 15 16 16 17 18 19 19 19 MARK SELING, et al., 20 Defendants. 21 22 RICHARD ROY SCOTT, 23 Plaintiff, 24 V. JOSEPH LEHMAN, 26 Defendant. 27 28 ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1	10			
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 MARK SELING, et al., 20 Defendants. 21 22 RICHARD ROY SCOTT, Plaintiff, V  19 MORE SELING SET AND RECOMMENDATION - 1  17 Posterior District Court Washington AT TACOMA  Case No. C04-5147RJB  Defendants.	11			
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA  RICHARD ROY SCOTT, Plaintiff,  WMARK SELING, et al., Defendants.  RICHARD ROY SCOTT, Case No. C04-5147RJB  Plaintiff,  Case No. C04-5147RJB  Case No. C04-5147RJB  Case No. C04-5147RJB  Order Adopting Report And Recommendation - 1	12			
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA  RICHARD ROY SCOTT, Plaintiff,  WARK SELING, et al., Defendants.  RICHARD ROY SCOTT, Case No. C04-5147RJB  Case No. C04-5147RJB  Case No. C04-5147RJB  Case No. C04-5147RJB  Oase No. C04-5147RJB  Case No. C04-5147RJB  Oase No. C04-5147RJB	13	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
16 RICHARD ROY SCOTT, 17 Plaintiff, 18 V 19 MARK SELING, et al., 20 Defendants. 21 RICHARD ROY SCOTT, 22 Plaintiff, 23 Plaintiff, 24 V. 25 JOSEPH LEHMAN, 26 Defendant.  27 28 ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1				
Plaintiff,  NARK SELING, et al.,  Defendants.  RICHARD ROY SCOTT,  Plaintiff,  V.  Plaintiff,  V.  JOSEPH LEHMAN,  Defendant.  ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1				
Plaintiff,  WMARK SELING, et al.,  Defendants.  RICHARD ROY SCOTT, Plaintiff,  V.  JOSEPH LEHMAN, Defendant.  ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1		RICHARD ROY SCOTT,	Casa No. COA-51/17R IR	
MARK SELING, et al., Defendants.  RICHARD ROY SCOTT, Plaintiff,  v. JOSEPH LEHMAN, Defendant.  ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1		Plaintiff,	Case No. C04-314/RJD	
Defendants.  RICHARD ROY SCOTT, Plaintiff,  v. JOSEPH LEHMAN, Defendant.  ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1		v		
21		MARK SELING, et al.,		
RICHARD ROY SCOTT, Plaintiff,  v. JOSEPH LEHMAN, Defendant.  ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1		Defendants.		
Plaintiff,  V. JOSEPH LEHMAN,  Defendant.  ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1		RICHARD ROY SCOTT.	Case No. C04-5521FDB	
23 24 V. JOSEPH LEHMAN, Defendant.  27 28 ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1				
JOSEPH LEHMAN, Defendant.  ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1				
Defendant.  Defendant.  ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1				
27 28 ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1				
ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1			1	
			IND ATTION 1	
Dockets Justia com	20	OKDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMME	ENDATION - I	
				Dockets Justia com

RICHARD ROY SCOTT,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	Case No. C04-5365RJB
STEVE STULTEMEIER et al.,	
Defendants.	
RICHARD ROY SCOT,	
Plaintiff.	
v.	Case No. C04-5574RBL
BECKY DENNY, et al.,	
Defendants.	
RICHARD ROY SCOTT,	
Plaintiff, v.	
RICHARDS et al.,	Case No. C04-5582RBL
Defendants.	
RICHARD ROY SCOTT	
Plaintiff,	
v.	
WEST DIAZ,	
Defendant.	Case No. C04-5598RBL
DICUADO DOV SCOTT	
Plaintiff, v.	
STATE OF WASHINGTON	G N GOA 550555
Defendant.	Case No. C04-5707RBL
	Plaintiff, v.  STEVE STULTEMEIER et al., Defendants.  RICHARD ROY SCOT, Plaintiff. v.  BECKY DENNY, et al., Defendants.  RICHARD ROY SCOTT, Plaintiff, v.  RICHARDS et al., Defendants.  RICHARD ROY SCOTT Plaintiff, v.  WEST DIAZ, Defendant.  RICHARD ROY SCOTT, Plaintiff, v.  STATE OF WASHINGTON

28 ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 2

1	RICHARD ROY SCOTT,			
2	Plaintiff,			
3	v.	C N C04 5012DID		
4	PACIFIC COUNTY et al,	Case No. C04-5813RJB		
5	Defendant.			
6	RICHARD ROY SCOTT,			
7	Plaintiff,			
8	V.	Case No. C04-5823RBL		
9	MARK SELING <i>et al</i> ,			
10	Defendants.			
11				
12	RICHARD ROY SCOTT,	Case No. C04-5896RBL		
13	Plaintiff,	Cuse No. Cot 3070NDL		
14	v.			
15	STATE OF WASHINGTON,			
16	Defendant.			
17	RICHARD ROY SCOTT et al.,	Case No. C04-5505FDB		
18	Plaintiff,			
19	v.			
20 21	JOSEPH LEHMAN,			
22	Defendants.	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION		
23				
24				
25				
26	Before the United States District Court judges who sit in Tacoma are the above listed cases filed			
27	by plaintiff Richard Roy Scott. Mr. Scott is a civil d	etainee currently being housed at the Special		
28	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMM	ENDATION 2		
	ONDER ADOFTING REFORT AND RECUMM!	ENDATION - 3		

Commitment Center operated by the State of Washington. The district court judges stayed Mr. Scott's 2 litigation pending a decision by the court on appropriate actions to be taken in light of Mr. Scott's 3 abusive litigation practices. A Report and Recommendation has been prepared by the magistrate judges 4 and is now before the court. The court, having reviewed, the report and recommendation of the 5 magistrate judges, objections to the report and recommendation, if any, and the remaining record, 6 does hereby find and ORDER: 7 The court adopts the Report and Recommendation. (1) 8 (2) In all future cases, the plaintiff shall submit a signed affidavit, along with the proposed complaint, verifying under penalty of perjury that none of 9 the issues raised in the proposed complaint have been litigated in the past by the plaintiff. 10 (3) An application for *in forma pauperis* status, the affidavit required in Item 1 above, and the proposed complaint shall be forwarded to the chief 11 judge of the district by the clerks office for review. The chief judge shall determine whether the action should be allowed to proceed. Plaintiff 12 must provide full and complete disclosure of all financial information in 13 support of any in forma pauperis application. 14 (4) Plaintiff may not engage in discovery without leave of court. To obtain leave of court he must submit written discovery to the court for prior approval. 15 Plaintiff may not issue any subpoenas or summons without prior approval of the court. (5) To obtain prior approval, the plaintiff must show the subpoena or summons is proper. 16 This will be done by submitting his affidavit which clearly discloses the nature of the 17 information he is seeking and sets forth the name and address of the person to whom the subpoena or summons is directed. 18 Plaintiff is prohibited from filing any duplicative or repetitive motion in (6) an action. The filing of such motions will result in monetary sanctions or 19 dismissal of the action. 20 Plaintiff shall not file a motion for reconsideration without making a (7) 21 specific showing that the motion meets the criteria set forth in the local rules for filing such a motion. 22

--23

(8) All settlement agreements with the plaintiff which include a monetary payment shall require payment of the funds into the registry of the court until such time as plaintiff has paid all outstanding debts for prior grants of *in forma pauperis* status.

25

24

(9) The case management order previously entered against the plaintiff shall remain in full effect.

26

27

28

1	(10) All current actions are dismissed with prejudice as a sanction for Mr.
2	Scott's conduct to date.
3	The Clerk of Court is directed to send a copy of this Order to plaintiff and to counsel for
4	defendants.
5	
6	Dated this 5 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2005.
7	
8	
9	
0	Franklin D. Burgess United States District Judge
1	
2	
3	Ronald B. Leighton
4	United States District Judge
.5	
6	Kaket Bryan
7	Robert J. Bryan United States District Judge
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	