

*forma pauperis* because his rights have been violated. Dkt. 9, 9-1. Regarding the former
issue, the Court agrees with Judge Creatura that Lee filed three previous cases that were
dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim. Dkt. 7 at 3. Although
the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals granted Lee's motion to appeal *in forma pauperis* in
his most recent dismissal, the district court dismissed the case for failure to state a claim. *See Lee v. Brier et al.*, Case No. 2:14-cv-01994-MJP (W.D. Wash.). Therefore, the Court
adopts the R&R on this issue.

Regarding Lee's assertion that his rights have been violated, the assertion
concedes that he is not in immediate danger. The event involving the loud, barking dogs
that allegedly caused his post traumatic syndrome has already occurred as alleged in the
complaint. Accordingly, the Court having considered the R&R, Lee's objections, and the
remaining record, does hereby find and order as follows:

13

17

18

20

21

22

(1)

## The R&R is **ADOPTED**;

14 (2) Lee's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* is **DENIED**;

- 15 (3) Lee must pay the filing fee to proceed with this action no later than
  February 10, 2017; and
  - (4) Failure to timely pay the fee will result in **DISMISSAL** by the Clerk with no further action by the Court.

19 Dated this 26th day of January, 2017.

BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge