

This matter comes before the Court on Petitioner Joel Paul Reesman's ("Reesman") objections to the nondispositive order by the Honorable Theresa L. Fricke, United States Magistrate Judge, renoting several of Reeseman's motions for consideration on May 25, 2018. Dkts. 54, 57. Also before the Court is Reesman's motion seeking to compel the State to file the complete state court record of the proceedings resulting in Reesman's conviction. Dkt. 56.

On February 18, 2018, Reesman moved for the Court to appoint counsel on his behalf. Dkt. 45. On April 12, 2018, Judge Fricke renoted Reesman's numerous pending motions for consideration on May 25, 3018, including Plaintiff's motion to appoint counsel. Dkt. 54. Judge Fricke also ordered that the State file the record of Reesman's criminal proceedings no later than May 11, 2018. *Id.* On April 16, 2018, Reesman objected to Judge Fricke's order and also filed a motion to compel the State to file the complete record of his criminal proceedings. Dkts. 56, 57.

10

11

12

When a party files objections to a nondispositve order, the "district judge in the
 case must consider timely objections and modify or set aside any part of the order that is
 clearly erroneous or is contrary to law." Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a).

Reesman's objection treats Judge Fricke's order as a denial of his motion to
appoint counsel and objects to the denial of his motion. *See* Dkt. 57. However, Judge
Fricke has not denied Reesman's motion; rather, the motion has simply been noted for
consideration on a later date in light of the Court's need to review the applicable state
court record before it can reach a decision that properly assesses the complexity of the
case and Reesman's potential likelihood of success. Because Judge Fricke's order did not
deny Reesman's motion, Reesman's objections (Dkt. 57) to Judge Fricke's order are

Additionally, the Court notes that Judge Fricke has already ordered that the Government file the complete state court record, including all transcripts of the proceedings, on or before May 11, 2018. *See* Dkt. 54. The record was filed on April 17, 2018. Dkt. 55. Because the record has been produced as ordered by Judge Fricke, Reesman's motion to compel its production (Dkt. 56) is **DENIED as moot**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 19th day of April, 2018.

BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge