1	Н	ONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DIST	RICT COURT
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
8		CASE NO. 16-cv-05952RBL
9	J.B., an minor child and with his legal guardian, ANNBEL BLAS,	
10	Plaintiffs,	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IFP
11	v.	
12	TACOMA SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 10, A Washington Municipal Corporation,,	
13		
14		
15	THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiffs Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma	
16	pauperis [Dkt. #2].	
17	A district court may permit indigent litigants to proceed in forma pauperis upon	
18	completion of a proper affidavit of indigency. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). The court has broad	
19	discretion in resolving the application, but "the privilege of proceeding in forma pauperis in civil	
20	actions for damages should be sparingly granted." Weller v. Dickson, 314 F.2d 598, 600 (9th	
21	Cir. 1963), cert. denied 375 U.S. 845 (1963). Moreover, a court should "deny leave to proceed	
22	in forma pauperis at the outset if it appears from the face of the proposed complaint that the	
23	action is frivolous or without merit." Tripati v. First Nat'l Bank & Trust, 821 F.2d 1368, 1369	
24		

1	(9th Cir. 1987) (citations omitted); see also 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i). An in forma pauperis	
2	complaint is frivolous if "it ha[s] no arguable substance in law or fact." <i>Id.</i> (citing <i>Rizzo v</i> .	
3	Dawson, 778 F.2d 527, 529 (9th Cir. 1985); Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1228 (9th Cir.	
4	1984).	
5	Plaintiffs have met this standard. The Motion to Proceed IFP [Dkt. #2] is GRANTED.	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated this 17 th day of January, 2017.	
8		
9	Ronald B. Leighton	
10	United States District Judge	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		