McDonald v. Lauren et al Doc. 257

HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT TACOMA 8 STEVEN DARBY MCDONALD, CASE NO. C17-5013RBL 9 Plaintiff, ORDER 10 v. 11 KENNETH B LAUREN, et al., 12 Defendants. 13 14 THIS MATTER is before the Court following the Ninth Circuit's Memorandum [Dkt. # 15 255] and Mandate [Dkt. # 256], affirming this Court's Orders [Dkt. #s 231 and 237] adopting 16 Magistrate Judge Christel's Report and Recommendation [Dkt. # 223] and denying Plaintiff 17 McDonald's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction [Dkt. # 99] and his Motion to Modify Judge 18 Christel's Order [Dkt. # 215]. 19 This Court deferred [see Dkt. # 251] ruling on Magistrate Judge Christel's subsequent 20 Report and Recommendation [Dkt. # 247] and McDonald's Objections to it [Dkt. # 249], 21 pending the resolution of McDonald's appeal. That R&R recommended that the Court GRANT 22 Defendant's Summary Judgment Motion [Dkt. # 177] and dismiss the case with prejudce. The 23 R&R is now ripe for resolution. 24

1. Judge Christel's Report and Recommendation is **ADOPTED**; 2 2. Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment is **GRANTED** and McDonald's claims against them are **DISMISSED** with prejudice; 3 Plaintiff McDonald's in forma pauperis status is **REVOKED** in the event of any 4 3. 5 appeal of this Order, for the reasons articulated in the R&R. 6 4. All other pending motions (including McDonald's Motion to Compel Legal Materials and Meet Deadlines and Pleading Standards [Dkt. # 250]) are **DENIED AS MOOT**. 7 8 The matter is closed. 9 IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this 10th day of July, 2020. 10 11 12 Ronald B. Leighton United States District Judge 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24