

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

Stephen Paul McClane,

Plaintiff,

V.

## Department of Corrections,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C17-5093 BHS-DWC

## ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE

Plaintiff Stephen Paul McClane, proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*, filed this civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Because Plaintiff is housed at Washington State Penitentiary (“WSP”) located in Walla Walla, Washington, and his claims arise out of actions committed at WSP, the Court orders this case be transferred to the Eastern District of Washington.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Because an order transferring venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) does not address the merits of the case, it is a nondispositive matter that is within the province of a magistrate judge's authority under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). See *Pavao v. Unifund CCR Partners*, 934 F. Supp. 2d 1238, 1241 (S.D. Cal. 2013); *Corrinet v. Burke*, 2012 WL 1952658, at \*6 (D.Or. Apr. 30, 2012); *Shenker v. Murasky*, 1996 WL 650974, at \*1 (E.D.N.Y. Nov. 6, 1996) (“An order issued by a magistrate judge transferring venue under 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) is non-dispositive.”); *Holmes v. TV-3, Inc.*, 141 F.R.D. 697, 697 (W.D. La. 1991) (“Since [a motion to transfer venue] is not one of the

## BACKGROUND

Plaintiff, who is currently incarcerated at Washington State Penitentiary (“WSP”) alleges in 2016 he was denied access to copy his records while housed in the Intensive Management Unit. Dkt. 8 at 3. Plaintiff alleges Jackson & Lewis of Las Vegas was denied the right to copy his file. *Id.* Plaintiff alleges these records are important to clarify his health status. *Id.* Plaintiff requests access to his files and “not some infraction file that was to be given to me.” *Id.* at 4.

The Court has not ordered the Clerk's Office to attempt service of process. Defendant has not appeared in this action. *See* Dkt. On March 7, 2017, the Court ordered Plaintiff to show cause why his case should not be transferred to the Eastern District of Washington. Dkt. 9. The Court warned Plaintiff failure to file a response to the Court's order would result in Plaintiff's case being transferred to the Eastern District. Dkt. 9. Plaintiff did not file a response to the Court's Order. *See* Dkt.

## DISCUSSION

Venue may be raised by the court *sua sponte* where the defendant has not filed a responsive pleading and the time for doing so has not run. *See Costlow v. Weeks*, 790 F.2d 1486, 1488 (9th Cir. 1986). When jurisdiction is not founded solely on diversity, venue is proper in (1) the district in which any defendant resides, if all of the defendants reside in the same state; (2) the district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated; or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). When venue is improper, the district court

motions excepted in 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A), nor is it dispositive of any claim on the merits within the meaning of Rule 72 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this ruling is issued under the authority thereof, and in accordance with the standing order of this Court.”).

1 has the discretion to either dismiss the case or transfer it "in the interest of justice." *See* 28  
2 U.S.C. § 1406(a).

3 In this case, the only named Defendant is the Washington Department of Corrections, a  
4 state agency which cannot be sued in a civil rights action. Dkt. 8; Dkt. 9 at 3 (citing *See Will v.*  
5 *Michigan Dep't. of State Police*, 491 U.S. 58, 65, 71 (1989)). Plaintiff is housed at WSP and his  
6 claims arise out of actions committed at WSP. Dkt. 8. WSP is located in Walla Walla,  
7 Washington, which is within the venue of the Eastern District of Washington. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§  
8 128(a). Accordingly, the Court orders this case be transferred to the Eastern District of  
9 Washington.

10 The Clerks' Office is directed to electronically transfer this case to the Eastern District of  
11 Washington fifteen days after the date of this Order.

12 Dated this 4<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2017.

13  
14   
15 David W. Christel  
16 United States Magistrate Judge  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24