Doc. 18

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Dismissal with the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, voluntarily withdrawing his Petition for Review because his primary witnesses are no longer able to testify on his behalf. Dkt. 13-1. The Ninth Circuit construed Petitioner's notice as a motion, granted the motion, and dismissed the petition that day. Dkt. 13-2.

Also on May 1, 2017, Petitioner filed the pending Notice of Voluntary Dismissal with this Court, and attached the Order of Dismissal of the Ninth Circuit. Dkt. 13. This notice should be construed as a motion for voluntary dismissal under Fed. R. Civ. P. 41 (a)(2). A little later on May 1, 2017, Respondent filed a Motion for Court to Enter Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law. Dkt. 14. Respondent improperly noted the motion for May 1, 2017. Id. The Respondent's motion was renoted by minute order and the parties were asked to address this Court's jurisdiction now that the underlying petition has been dismissed by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. Dkt. 15.

II. **DISCUSSION**

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41 (a)(2), "an action may be dismissed at a Plaintiff's request only by court order, on terms that the court considers proper." A motion for voluntary dismissal under Rule 41(a)(2) should be granted "unless a defendant can show that it will suffer some plain legal prejudice as a result." Smith v. Lenches, 263 F.3d 972, 975 (9th Cir. 2001).

Petitioner's motion for voluntary dismissal (Dkt. 13) should be granted. Respondent has failed to show that he will suffer "plain legal prejudice" if this petition is dismissed. Although Respondent argues that he has expended time and resources in an effort to defend against Petitioner's claim, in the Ninth Circuit, "legal prejudice" means "prejudice to some legal interest, some legal claim, some legal argument." Smith, at 976. Respondent makes no such showing. This case should be dismissed without prejudice.

24

1	Moreover, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has dismissed the underlying petition. Dkt.
2	13-2. Respondent fails to show that this Court has jurisdiction over this case after the Ninth
3	Circuit dismissed the underlying petition. Respondent's Motion for Court to Enter Findings of
4	Fact and Conclusions of Law (Dkt. 14) should be denied without prejudice.
5	The case should be dismissed without prejudice and closed.
6	III. <u>ORDER</u>
7	Petitioner's Notice of Voluntary Dismissal (Dkt. 13), construed as a motion for
8	voluntary dismissal (Dkt. 13) IS GRANTED; and
9	Respondent's Motion for Court to Enter Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law
10	(Dkt. 14) IS DENIED WITHOUT PREUDICE; and
11	• This case IS DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND CLOSED.
12	The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and
13	to any party appearing pro se at said party's last known address.
14	Dated this 22 nd day of May, 2017.
15	P. PATE
16	Maker 9/2 yan
17	ROBERT J. BRYAN United States District Judge
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 l	