

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT TACOMA

9 JUAN C PARRA-INTERIAN,
10 Petitioner,

11 v.

12 MIKE OBENLAND,
13 Respondent.

CASE NO. 3:17-CV-05481-RBL-DWC
ORDER APPOINTING COUNSEL

14 The District Court has referred this action filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 to United
15 States Magistrate Judge David W. Christel. Petitioner Juan C. Parra-Interian filed his federal
16 habeas Petition seeking relief from a state court conviction. *See* Dkt. 3. Currently pending before
17 the Court is Petitioner's Motion to Appoint Counsel. Dkt. 27. After reviewing the relevant record
18 before this Court, the Court concludes the interests of justice require the appointment of counsel
19 in this case. Therefore, Petitioner's Motion (Dkt. 27) is granted.

20 **I. Background**

21 Petitioner previously filed two motions requesting counsel, which the Court denied
22 without prejudice. *See* Dkt. 4, 7, 18, 20. The previous motions were denied because (1) the Court
23 did not find good cause to grant leave to conduct discovery; (2) Petitioner clearly articulated his
24

1 grounds for relief and the grounds are not legally complex; and (3) Petitioner had not shown he
2 was likely to succeed on the merits of his case. *See* Dkt. 20.

3 Petitioner has now filed the pending Motion reiterating the same reasons for requesting
4 counsel as in his previous motions. *See* Dkt. 27. He asserts he is uneducated, has limited access
5 to the law library, and does not read or write in English. Dkt. 27. However, unlike his previous
6 motions, Petitioner has now attached affidavits of three inmates who support Petitioner's
7 assertions that he cannot read or write in English. *See* Dkt. 28. The affidavits state that the
8 inmates help translate Petitioner's legal documents from English to Spanish and then help draft
9 pleadings for Petitioner in English. *Id.* The inmates are unable to completely translate the legal
10 documents into Spanish and do not believe the translations are completely accurate. *Id.* Further,
11 Petitioner has been transferred to a different unit, away from the inmates who were assisting
12 him. *Id.*

13 Petitioner filed the Motion on February 21, 2018. Dkt. 27. Respondent did not file a
14 response to the Motion. *See* Docket.

15 **II. Discussion**

16 There is no right appointed counsel in cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 unless an
17 evidentiary hearing is required or such appointment is "necessary for the effective utilization of
18 discovery procedures." *See McCleskey v. Zant*, 499 U.S. 467, 495 (1991); *United States v.*
19 *Duarte-Higareda*, 68 F.3d 369, 370 (9th Cir. 1995); *United States v. Angelone*, 894 F.2d 1129,
20 1130 (9th Cir. 1990); *Weygandt v. Look*, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983); Rules Governing
21 Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts 6(a) and 8(c). The Court may appoint
22 counsel "at any stage of the case if the interest of justice so require." *Weygandt*, 718 F.2d at 754.
23 In deciding whether to appoint counsel, the Court "must evaluate the likelihood of success on the
24

1 merits as well as the ability of the petitioner to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the
2 complexity of the legal issues involved.” *Id.*

3 Here, the Court finds Petitioner’s inability to read and write in English hinders his ability
4 to articulate his claims and respond to the Answer and Supplemental Answer. While the Court
5 has not determined that Petitioner is likely to succeed on the merits of this case, the Court finds
6 the interests of justice require Petitioner be appointed counsel to ensure he understands and can
7 respond to the legal and factual arguments presented in Respondent’s Answer and Supplemental
8 Answer.

9 Accordingly, the Court **appoints the Federal Public Defender for the Western District**
10 **of Washington (FPD) for Petitioner.** The Clerk shall provide a copy of this Order to: Michael
11 Filipovic, Federal Public Defender, Federal Public Defender’s Office, 1601 Fifth Avenue, Suite
12 700, Seattle, Washington 98101.

13 As Petitioner is now represented by counsel, the Court grants Petitioner’s Motion for
14 Extension of Time (Dkt. 29) as follows:

- 15 • The traverse must be filed on or before April 30, 2018.
- 16 • Respondent may file a reply to the traverse on or before May 4, 2018.

17 The Clerk of Court is directed to re-note the Petition for May 4, 2018.

18 Dated this 16th day of March, 2018.

19 

20 _____
21 David W. Christel
22 United States Magistrate Judge
23
24