

1 HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON
2
3
4
5
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT TACOMA

10 CALLI L. HISEY, et al.,

11 CASE NO. C17-5543RBL

12 Plaintiffs,

13 ORDER

v.

14 KELLY ELLIS, et al.,

15 Defendants.

16 THIS MATTER is before the Court on Defendants Ellis and Innovative Data Search
17 LLCs’ “Proposed Motion to Dismiss” [Dkt. #99], Second Motion to Dismiss [Dkt. #100], and a
18 “Reply” to their attorneys’ motion to withdraw, which has already been granted. The latter
19 document suggests that Plaintiff Hisey has closed her law office and that Defendants cannot
20 locate her to discuss the case (it does not have anything to do with the attorney’s motion to
21 withdraw).

22 The Motions to dismiss are based on Defendants claim that it is Hisey who is the bully,
23 not them, and the bald claim that Hisey is lying to the Court and wasting its time.

24 Defendant Kelly can represent himself *pro se*, but he cannot represent Defendant
Innovative Data Search LLC. A corporation may appear in federal court only through licensed

1 counsel. *See Rowland v. Cal. Men's Colony*, 506 U.S. 194, 202–03 (1993); *Taylor v. Knapp*, 871
2 F.2d 803, 806 (9th Cir. 1989).

3 The Motions to Dismiss are DENIED.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated this 3rd day of January, 2018.

6
7 
8

9
10 Ronald B. Leighton
11 United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24