| 1        |  |   |
|----------|--|---|
| 2        |  |   |
| 3        |  |   |
| 4        |  |   |
| 5        |  |   |
| 6        |  |   |
| 7        |  |   |
| 8        | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT<br>WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON                                       |   |
| 9        | AT TACOMA  |   |
| 10       | MONICA WYNIA,  |   |
| 11       | Plaintiff,   | CASE NO. 3:17-CV-05783-JRC                    |
| 12       | v.   | ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED<br>MOTION TO REMAND |
| 13<br>14 | NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Deputy<br>Commissioner of Social Security for<br>Operations,                     |   |
| 15       | Defendant.   |   |
| 16       | This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Fed. R. Civ. P. 73 and Local             |   |
| 17       | Magistrate Judge Rule MJR 13. ( <i>See also</i> Consent to Proceed before a United States Magistrate |   |
| 18       | Judge, Dkt. 2.) This matter is before the Court on defendant's stipulated motion to remand the       |   |
| 19       | matter to the Deputy Commissioner for further consideration. (Dkt. 19.)                              |   |
| 20       | After reviewing defendant's stipulated motion and the remaining record, the Court grants             |   |
| 21       | defendant's motion, and reverses and remands this matter to the Deputy Commissioner.                 |   |
| 22       | On remand, based on the parties' stipulation, the Appeals Council will remand this case              |   |
| 23       | to an Administrative Law Judge ("the ALJ") to:   |   |
| 24       |  |   |

| 1  | 1) Evaluate reopening plaintiff's prior application filed on August 29, 2011, given that         |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2  | the decision at issue adjudicates this case beginning on an alleged onset date of                |  |  |
| 3  | August 11, 2011;   |  |  |
| 4  | 2) Evaluate further whether plaintiff has performed substantial gainful activity during          |  |  |
| 5  | the period at issue, and continue with the sequential evaluation process, including an           |  |  |
| 6  | assessment of plaintiff's testimony and her residual functional capacity;                        |  |  |
| 7  | 3) Obtain supplemental vocational expert evidence regarding plaintiff's work history             |  |  |
| 8  | and/or, as warranted, whether there are a significant number of jobs in the national             |  |  |
| 9  | economy that she can perform; and  |  |  |
| 10 | 4) Consider evidence previously submitted by plaintiff, as well as any new and material          |  |  |
| 11 | evidence that may be submitted.  |  |  |
| 12 | The ALJ will offer plaintiff an opportunity for a hearing. Plaintiff may present new             |  |  |
| 13 | arguments and further medical evidence, if such evidence becomes available. The ALJ will issue   |  |  |
| 14 | a new decision.  |  |  |
| 15 | This case is reversed and remanded on the above grounds pursuant to sentence four of 42          |  |  |
| 16 | U.S.C. § 405(g). Following proper presentation, this Court will consider plaintiff's application |  |  |
| 17 | for attorney's fees and costs under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1920 and 2412(d).                               |  |  |
| 18 | Given the facts and the parties' stipulation, the Court hereby orders that the case be           |  |  |
| 19 | <b>REVERSED</b> and <b>REMANDED</b> pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).             |  |  |
| 20 | Dated this 31st day of May, 2018.  |  |  |
| 21 | A. Mars matino   |  |  |
| 22 | J. Richard Creatura  |  |  |
| 23 | United States Magistrate Judge   |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |