

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

THE HONORABLE BENJAMIN H. SETTLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

CODY BRENNER, on his own behalf and
on behalf of other similarly situated
persons,

Plaintiff,

v.

VIZIO, INC., a California corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:17-cv-05897-BHS

**STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER
TO MODIFY THE DEADLINE AND
BRIEFING SCHEDULE RELATED TO
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR CLASS
CERTIFICATION**

NOTED FOR: NOVEMBER 1, 2018

1 **I. RELIEF REQUESTED**

2 1. Pursuant to FRCP 16(b), Plaintiff Cody Brenner and Defendant VIZIO, Inc.
3 (collectively, “the Parties”) hereby jointly request the Court enter an order extending the deadline
4 for Plaintiff’s motion to certify the class to January 24, 2019, with Defendant’s response being
5 due on March 7, 2019, and Plaintiff’s reply being due on March 28, 2019.

6 2. The Parties have conferred and jointly represent that they have good cause to
7 request this continuance.

8 **II. STATEMENT OF FACTS**

9 3. Plaintiff filed his Second Amended Complaint for Damages on June 1, 2018. Dkt.
10 35.

11 4. Defendant filed its Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Complaint on June 15,
12 2018. Dkt. 37.

13 5. On July 30, 2018, the Court granted the Parties’ prior stipulated motion and
14 issued an order setting the deadline for Plaintiff’s motion for class certification to be 90 days
15 after entry of the Court’s Order on Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss. Dkt. 43.

16 6. The Court’s Order on Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss (“Order”) was entered on
17 September 24, 2018. Dkt. 45.

18 7. Based on the date of the Court’s Order, the current deadline for Plaintiff’s motion
19 for class certification is December 24, 2018, causing the motion and the period for Defendant’s
20 response to fall during the holiday season. Dkts. 43, 45.

21 8. Extending Plaintiff’s deadline for the motion for class certification to January 24,
22 2019, with Defendant’s response being due on March 7, 2019, and Plaintiff’s reply being due on
23 March 28, 2019, would avoid the impact of the holiday season and enable the parties to avoid
24 scheduling conflicts while preparing their class certification briefing and supporting evidence.
25 This schedule, which provides six weeks for the response and three weeks for the reply, will
26 also allow the parties to conduct discovery of issues and witnesses identified in the class
27 certification briefing in a timely fashion.

1 9. Both sides have served written discovery and otherwise diligently pursued
2 discovery efforts after receiving the Court's Order on the Motion to Dismiss.

3 **III. EVIDENCE RELIED UPON**

4 The Parties rely upon this Motion and the pleadings, files, and records in this proceeding.

5 **IV. AUTHORITY AND ARGUMENT**

6 This Motion is based upon the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, corresponding case law,
7 and the Court's power to control its calendar. Orders entered before the final pretrial conference
8 may be modified upon a showing of "good cause." *Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc.*, 975
9 F.2d 604, 608 (9th Cir. 1992) *citing* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b).

10 Here, good cause exists for modifying the current class certification deadline and related
11 briefing schedule because the Parties anticipate that holiday scheduling conflicts and the timing
12 constraints of the current schedule may impact their ability to adequately prepare briefing related
13 to class certification.

14 **V. CONCLUSION**

15 For the above-stated reasons, the Parties respectfully request that the Court grant their
16 Stipulated Motion and issue a revised case scheduling order continuing the class certification
17 motion deadline to January 24, 2019, with Defendant's response being due on March 7, 2019,
18 and Plaintiff's reply being due on March 28, 2019.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DATED this 1st day of November, 2018.

Attorneys for Plaintiff:

Attorneys for Defendant:

By: s/ Scott C.G. Blankenship
Scott C. G. Blankenship, WSBA No. 21431
Rick E. Goldsworthy, WSBA No. 40684
The Blankenship Law Firm, P.S.
1000 Second Avenue, Suite 3250
Seattle, WA 98104
Telephone: (206) 343-2700
Facsimile: (206) 343-2704
sblankenship@blankenshiplawfirm.com

By: s/ David Maas
Stephen M. Rummage, WSBA No. 11168
David Maas, WSBA No. 50694
Davis Wright Tremaine LLP
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 622-3150
Facsimile: (206) 757-7700
steverummage@dwt.com
davidmaas@dwt.com

By: s/ Kelsey S. Morris
Hyongsoon Kim, (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
Kelsey S. Morris (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP
4 Park Plaza, Suite 1900
Irvine, CA 92614
Telephone: (949) 885-4100
Facsimile: (949) 885-4101
kimh@akingump.com
kmorris@akingump.com

1 **ORDER**

2 Having considered the parties' Stipulated Motion to Modify the Deadline and Briefing
3 Schedule Related to Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification, and good cause appearing, the
4 Court hereby GRANTS the request. Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification will be due on
5 January 24, 2019, with Defendant's Response due on March 7, 2019, and Plaintiff's Reply due
6 on March 28, 2019. The Court will issue a revised briefing schedule moving all related
7 deadlines in accordance with the new class certification motion deadline.

8 DATED this 5th day of November, 2018.

9
10 

11
12

BENJAMIN H. SETTLE
13 United States District Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

1 Presented By,

2 *Attorneys for Defendant:*

3 DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

4 By: s/ David Maas

5 Stephen M. Rummage, WSBA No. 11168

6 David Maas. WSBA No. 50694

7 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200

8 Seattle, WA 98101

9 Telephone: (206) 622-3150

Facsimile: (206) 757-7700

steverummage@dwt.com

davidmaas@dwt.com

10 AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP

11 Hyongsoon Kim, (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)

12 Kelsey S. Morris (*Admitted Pro Hac Vice*)

13 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1900

14 Irvine, CA 92614

15 Telephone: (949) 885-4100

16 Facsimile: (949) 885-4101

17 kimh@akingump.com

18 kmorris@akingump.com