Johnson v. Barnes et al Doc. 81

1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 8 ANDREA JOHNSON, CASE NO. C17-5927 BHS 9 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S 10 v. MOTIONS TO APPEAL IN FORMA **PAUPERIS** 11 BRIAN BARNES, et al., 12 Defendants. 13 14 This matter comes before the Court on the Court's request for a response 15 regarding service of the remaining defendants, Dkt. 73, Plaintiff Andrea Johnson's 16 ("Johnson") responses, Dkts. 74–75, and Johnson's motions for leave to appeal in forma 17 pauperis. Dkts. 77–78. 18 On August 30, 2018, the Court granted Defendant's motion to dismiss and 19 requested a response from Johnson regarding service of the remaining named Defendants. 20 Dkt. 73. On September 17 and 23, 2018, Johnson responded and failed to address the 21 Court's concerns. Dkts. 74–75. Therefore, the Court dismisses Johnson's remaining 22 claims without prejudice for failing to properly serve defendants almost a year after filing

1	her original complaint. The Clerk shall enter a <b>JUDGMENT</b> in favor of Defendants
2	Brian Barnes, Marc Christenson, Mike DeWitt, Hon Jonathon Lack, and Kimberly Reid
3	dismissing Johnson's claims with prejudice and in favor of Shelley Brandt, Margaret
4	Brost, and Melissa Denton dismissing Johnson's claims without prejudice.
5	On September 29, 2018, Johnson filed two notices of appeal and two motions to
6	appeal in forma pauperis. Dkts. 76–79. The Court finds that Johnson has failed to
7	identify any debatable issue that should proceed to appeal. Therefore, the Court denies
8	Johnson's motions. Johnson, however, should be informed that she may move the Ninth
9	Circuit Court of Appeals for permission to appeal in forma pauperis.
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
11	Dated this 11th day of October, 2018.
12	L 10
13	Doya \ South
14	BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	