Doc. 19

On February 27, 2018, Plaintiff filed a pleading objecting to the Order. Dkt. 18. The header to the pleading reads: "Rule 46. Objecting to a Ruling or Order on IFP." Dkt. 18 at 1. Although unclear, it appears that Plaintiff argues that the Court erred in denying her motion for IFP, because Plaintiff filed all documents sufficient to initiate a case, including the complaint, certificate of service, and jury demand. *Id.* at 2. Plaintiff also states that she has financial hardships, and she requests that this matter be "consolidated" with an EEOC complaint. *Id.* at 1, 2.

Although the Court is under no obligation to consider the merits of Plaintiff's objections because they were not properly raised in a motion for reconsideration or otherwise, the Court has considered the merits of Plaintiff's objections. The objections are duly noted, but they do not change the Court's view of the underlying merits of Complaint, as articulated in the Order.

The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to any party appearing *pro se* at said party's last known address.

Dated this 1st day of March, 2018.

ROBERT J. BRYAN

United States District Judge