HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT TACOMA 8 CANDI MARIE HASHIM. CASE NO. C17-6079RBL 9 Plaintiff. ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR 10 LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA v. **PAUPERIS** 11 NANCY BERRYHILL, 12 Defendant. 13 14 THIS MATTER is before the Court on Plaintiff Hashim's Motion for Leave to Proceed in 15 forma pauperis [Dkt. #1]. 16 A district court may permit indigent litigants to proceed in forma pauperis upon 17 completion of a proper affidavit of indigency. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). The court has broad 18 discretion in resolving the application, but "the privilege of proceeding in forma pauperis in civil 19 actions for damages should be sparingly granted." Weller v. Dickson, 314 F.2d 598, 600 (9th 20 Cir. 1963), cert. denied 375 U.S. 845 (1963). Moreover, a court should "deny leave to proceed 21 in forma pauperis at the outset if it appears from the face of the proposed complaint that the 22 action is frivolous or without merit." Tripati v. First Nat'l Bank & Trust, 821 F.2d 1368, 1369 23 (9th Cir. 1987) (citations omitted); see also 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i). An in forma pauperis 24

1	complaint is frivolous if "it ha[s] no arguable substance in law or fact." <i>Id.</i> (citing <i>Rizzo v</i> .
2	Dawson, 778 F.2d 527, 529 (9th Cir. 1985); Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1228 (9th Cir.
3	1984).
4	Hashim has met this standard. The Motion to Proceed IFP [Dkt. #1] is GRANTED.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	
7	Dated this 3 <sup>rd</sup> day of January, 2018.
8	
9	Ronald B. Leighton
10	United States District Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	