

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

SIR JOHN GREYSTROKE,  
  
  Plaintiff,  
  
          v.  
  
CLALLAM COUNTY CORRECTIONS  
FACILITY, et. al.,  
  
  Defendants.

and

SIR JOHN GREYSTROKE,  
  
  Plaintiff,  
  
          v.  
  
CLALLAM COUNTY  
CORRECTIONS FACILITY, et. al.,  
  
  Defendants.

CASE NO. 18-5081 RJB-DWC and  
18-5217 RJB-TLF  
  
ORDER ON JUNE 14, 2019  
PLEADING

1 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Plaintiff's June 14, 2019 pleading which was  
2 filed in *Greystroke v. Clallam County Corrections Facility, et. al.*, Western District of  
3 Washington case number 18-5081 ("Case 18-5081"), Dkt. 17, and *Greystroke v. Clallam County*  
4 *Corrections Facility, et. al.*, Western District of Washington case number 18-5217 ("Case 18-  
5 5217"), Dkt. 23. The Court has reviewed the pleadings and the remaining files.

6 **Procedural History of Case 18-5081**

7 On March 14, 2018, the Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to  
8 28 U.S.C. § 1915 was granted. Dkt. 6.

9 On May 29, 2018, this Court adopted a Report and Recommendation and dismissed this  
10 case for failure to obey a court order. Dkt. 13. The Plaintiff did not file an appeal.

11 **Procedural History of Case 18-5217**

12 On June 28, 2018, the Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28  
13 U.S.C. § 1915 was granted. Dkt. 10.

14 On January 1, 2019, this Court adopted a Report and Recommendation and dismissed this  
15 case with prejudice. Dkt. 19. The case was found to be frivolous and the dismissal was counted  
16 as a strike under 28 U.S.C. § 1915. *Id.* The Plaintiff did not file an appeal.

17 **June 14, 2019 Pleading, Filed in Both Cases**

18 In the Plaintiff's June 14, 2019 pleading, he inquires as to the status of the cases and asks  
19 that the Court stop the drafts for the filing fees from being taken out of his prison trust account.  
20 Dkts. 17 and 23.

1 **DISCUSSION**

2 To the extent that Plaintiff intends this pleading to be a motion to stop the corrections  
3 institution from taking filing fees out of his account (Dkts. 17 and 23), the motion should be  
4 denied.

5 “Section 1915(b) provides that prisoners proceeding IFP must pay the filing fee as funds  
6 become available in their prison accounts.” *Andrews v. Cervantes*, 493 F.3d 1047, 1052 (9th Cir.  
7 2007). The full filing fee is due even if the case is dismissed. *See Id.* Further, § 1915(b)(2)  
8 requires “monthly payments of 20 percent of the preceding month’s income simultaneously for  
9 each action pursued.” *Bruce v. Samuels*, 136 S.Ct. 627, 632 (2016).


10 The Plaintiff makes no showing that § 1915(b) does not apply. The filing fees should be  
11 continued to be drafted from his prison account for each case he filed in accord with the 28  
12 U.S.C. § 1915.

13 Moreover, these cases are closed. Other than timely notices of appeal, further pleadings  
14 filed by Plaintiff will be filed in the records, but the Court will not act on them.

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16 The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and  
17 to any party appearing *pro se* at said party’s last known address.

18 Dated this 1<sup>st</sup> day of July, 2019.

19 

20 ROBERT J. BRYAN  
21 United States District Judge