

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

AUSTIN LAZAR,

Plaintiff,

v.

DON BOURBON, SHELBY WILCOX,  
PIERCE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPT.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:18-CV-05175-RBL-DWC

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Plaintiff Austin Lazar, proceeding *pro se*, filed a Complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. *See* Dkt. 1-1.<sup>1</sup> Having reviewed and screened Plaintiff’s Complaint under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, the Court declined to serve the Complaint, but notified Plaintiff of the deficiencies of his Complaint and provided him leave to file an amended pleading by April 13, 2018 (“Order to Show Cause”). Dkt. 3.

---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff also filed a Motion for Leave to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis*. Dkt. 1. However, the Court finds it improbable Plaintiff will be able to cure the deficiencies of his Complaint and therefore will not rule on the request to proceed *in forma pauperis* until Plaintiff has filed an amended complaint.

1 Plaintiff has not meaningfully responded to the Order to Show Cause. On March 20 2018,  
2 Plaintiff filed a pleading requesting the Court obtain discovery and information about his state  
3 warrant. Dkt. 4. And, on April 9, 2018, Plaintiff filed a portion of a case regarding a city  
4 ordinance in Chicago, Illinois. Dkt. 5. Plaintiff also filed a new lawsuit on April 9, 2018 against  
5 the same Defendants named in the above-captioned case –Don Bourbon, Shelby Wilcox, and  
6 Pierce County Sheriff’s Department –. *See Lazar v. Bourbon, et al.*, 3:18-cv-5278-BHS-TLF  
7 (W.D. Wash.).

8 As Plaintiff has not meaningfully responded to the Order to Show Cause and has filed a  
9 separate lawsuit against Defendants, the Court directs Plaintiff to show cause why the above-  
10 captioned action should not be dismissed. Plaintiff must respond to this Order and file an  
11 amended complaint curing the deficiencies identified in the Order to Show Cause or before June  
12 1, 2018. If Plaintiff fails to respond to this Order, the Court will recommend dismissal of this  
13 action.

14 Dated this 3rd day of May, 2018.

15 

16 \_\_\_\_\_  
17 David W. Christel  
18 United States Magistrate Judge  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24