Crandall v. Williams et al Doc. 33

HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT TACOMA 8 RANDEL REELE CRANDALL, CASE NO. C18-5341RBL-TLF 9 Plaintiff, ORDER 10 v. J WILLIAMS, 11 12 Defendant. 13 14 THIS MATTER is before the Court on Magistrate Judge Fricke's Report and 15 Recommendation [Dkt. #31], recommending the DENIAL of Defendant Williams's Motion for Summary Judgment [Dkt. # 22]. Williams Objects to the R&R [Dkt. # 32], arguing that 16 17 Crandall's claims are barred by Heck v. Humphrey, 512 U.S. 477 (1994). 18 1. The Report and Recommendation is **ADOPTED**; 19 2. For the reasons articulated in the R&R, Defendant Williams's Motion for Summary 20 Judgment is **DENIED**; 21 22 23 24

3. The Clerk shall send copies of this Order to the Williams's last known address and to Magistrate Judge Fricke. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of March, 2020. Ronald B. Leighton United States District Judge