1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 7 JUSTIN FREGOSI, CASE NO. 3:18-cv-5440-RJB 8 Plaintiff, ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR A CONTINUANCE 9 v. 10 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 13 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Continuance of Defendants' 14 Motion Summary Judgment and Qualified Immunity. Dkt. 34. The Court has considered the 15 pleadings filed regarding the motion and the remainder of the record herein. 16 On June 4, 2018, Plaintiff filed this case and moved to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP"). 17 Dkt. 1 and 1-1. Plaintiff's IFP application was granted. Dkt. 3. On August 14, 2018, Plaintiff 18 filed an amended complaint and asserts, in part, that the Defendants violated his due process 19 rights when Christie Dotson and Jamie Young, both Department of Health and Human Services 20 social workers, testified and/or filed pleadings in state court that Plaintiff had a prior child abuse 21 conviction which resulted in his children being placed in foster care. Dkt. 13. Plaintiff claims 22 that he had no such conviction, and while one of his children was in foster care, the child was 23 abused. Id. 24

1 On May 16, 2019, the Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment, seeking 2 dismissal of the case. Dkt. 27. The motion for summary judgment is noted for consideration on June 7, 2019. Plaintiff now files a motion to continue the motion for summary judgment. Dkt. 3 34. For the reasons provided below, the Plaintiff's motion should be granted (Dkt. 34), a brief 5 continuance given, and the summary judgment motion should be renoted for June 14, 2019. 6 **MOTION FOR A CONTINUANCE.** Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 (d): 7 If a nonmovant shows by affidavit or declaration that, for specified reasons, it cannot present facts essential to justify its opposition [to a motion for summary 8 judgment], the court may: (1) defer considering the motion or deny it; (2) allow time to obtain affidavits or declarations or to take discovery; or (3) issue any other 9 appropriate order. "A party seeking additional discovery under Rule 56 (d) must explain what further discovery 11 would reveal that is essential to justify its opposition' to the motion for summary judgment." Stevens v. Corelogic, Inc., 899 F.3d 666, 678 (9th Cir. 2018)(cert. denied, 139 S. Ct. 1222 (2019)(internal quotation marks and citation omitted). "In particular, the requesting party must 13 14 show that: (1) it has set forth in affidavit form the specific facts it hopes to elicit from further 15 discovery; (2) the facts sought exist; and (3) the sought-after facts are essential to oppose 16 summary judgment." *Id.* (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). 17 Plaintiff's motion for a continuance (Dkt. 17) should be granted and the motion for 18 summary judgment (Dkt. 27) should be renoted for June 14, 2019. In Plaintiff's May 22, 2019 19 motion, the Plaintiff states that on May 21, 2019 he contacted the Montana Department of 20 Family Services caseworker, Lisa Hardy, who the Defendants maintain gave them information 21 about the Plaintiff prior child abuse conviction. Dkt. 34. According to the Plaintiff, Ms. Hardy 22 told him that she did not tell the Defendants that he went to prison for felony child abuse. *Id.* He 23 asserts that he also talked with a Wyoming assistant attorney general who indicated that they

24

1	were willing to submit something to the Washington court. Id. Over two weeks have passed
2	since the Plaintiff alleges the conversation with Ms. Hardy occurred. While it is not wholly
3	apparent that these facts are essential to oppose summary judgment, in the interest of fully and
4	fairly considering all issues in the case, a brief continuance is warranted. This continuance
5	should only be for one week because the discovery deadline has past and the dispositive motions
6	deadline expires today. The case is set to go to trial on September 3, 2019.
7	If he so chooses, the Plaintiff may supplement his response to the motion for summary or
8	or before June 10, 2019. Defendant's reply, if any, should be filed by June 14, 2019.
9	<u>ORDER</u>
10	Plaintiff's Motion for Continuance of Defendants' Motion Summary Judgment
11	and Qualified Immunity (Dkt. 34) IS GRANTED;
12	The Defendants' Motion for Summary and Qualified Immunity (Dkt. 27) IS
13	RENOTED for June 14, 2019;
14	o If he so chooses, the Plaintiff may supplement his response to the motion
15	for summary on or before June 10, 2019; and
16	o Defendant's reply, if any, shall be filed by June 14, 2019.
17	The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to any party
18	appearing pro se at said party's last known address.
19	Dated this 5 th day of June, 2019.
20	A DATE
21	Maker 9 Duyan
22	ROBERT J. BRYAN United States District Judge
23	
24	