1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT TACOMA 7 MEGAN DOUGLAS, CASE NO. C18-5451 BHS 8 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING IN PART 9 v. AND DENYING IN PART DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR 10 NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND TO CORPORATION d/b/a AMTRAK, SHOW CAUSE 11 Defendant. 12 13 This matter comes before the Court on Defendant National Railroad Passenger 14 Corporation d/b/a Amtrak's ("Amtrak") motion for summary judgment on punitive 15 damages and consumer protection act ("CPA") claim. Dkt. 27. 16 On October 31, 2019, Amtrak filed the instant motion. *Id.* On November 18, 17 2019, Plaintiff Megan Douglas responded. Dkt. 30. On November 22, 2019, Amtrak 18 replied. Dkt. 33. 19 Upon review of the motion, the Court grants the motion in part and denies the 20 motion in part on the same grounds and for the same reasons set forth in *Mitchem v. Nat'l* 21 22

R.R. Passenger Corp., C18-5366-BHS, 2020 WL 91490 (W.D. Wash. Jan. 8, 2020) and related cases cited therein. Regarding the remaining CPA claim, the Court will allow any party to show cause why the claim should not be severed and opened in a new case as was done in the related case of Cottrell v. Nat'l R.R. Passenger Corp., C18-0072-BHS, Dkt. 53 (W.D. Wash. Feb. 26, 2020). Any response may be filed no later than March 2, 2020. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this 27th day of February, 2020. United States District Judge